BEFORE THE RADNOR TOWNSHIP BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DELAWARE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: Conditional Use Hearing of the Trustees of Dorrance Hamilton 3/15/1996 Revocable Agreement of Trust to Develop Properties at 208 and 228 Strafford Avenue and 18 Forrest Lane

Public hearing in the above matter held pursuant to notice on Monday, August 12, 2024, at the Radnor Township Municipal Building, 301 Iven Avenue, Wayne, Pennsylvania, commencing at 6:48 p.m., before Norma Gerrity, Professional Court Reporter.

BEFORE: MAGGY MYERS, President CATHERINE AGNEW, Member JACK LARKIN, Member MOIRA MULRONEY, Member

JOHN RICE, ESQUIRE, Solicitor

APPEARANCES: GEORGE W. BROSEMAN, ESQUIRE, for the Applicant

NOAH MARLIER, ESQUIRE, Special Counsel for Radnor Township

NORMA GERRITY
Professional Court Reporter
14 Fetters Boulevard
Downingtown, PA 19335
610-246-2362

INDEX	
APPLICANT WITNESSES:	PAGE
Erik W. Hetzel (Continued)	
By Mr. Broseman By Mr. Marlier By the Parties By the Board By Mr. Rice	6,45 20,44 28 30 40
RADNOR TOWNSHIP WITNESSES:	PAGE
Golda Speyer	
By Mr. Marlier By Mr. Broseman	55,68,70 61

<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
APPLICANT		MARKED	RECD
A-27	Plans from Prior Hamilton CU Application (Exhibit 7)	50	51
A-28	Summary of Annual Fiscal Impacts - Four-Bedroom		
A-29	Townhouse Summary of Annual Fiscal	7	51
11 23	Impacts - All Bedroom Configurations	16	51
A-30	Exhibit List from 60 West		_
	GP Conditional Use Hearing	11	51
(All Applicant's Exhibits Received In Evidence)			51
TOWNSHIP: MARKED REC		RECD	
T-1	CV of Golda Speyer	54	
T-2	Planning Report of Golda Speyer, Topology	71	

1 THE PRESIDENT: Okay. Welcome 2 everyone. We're going to get underway with 3 the Board of Commissioners conditional use 4 hearing for August 12th, 2024. 5 Would you join me for the Pledge of 6 Allegiance. 7 (Pledge of Allegiance) 8 9 10 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. 11 Mr. Rice will get us started. 12 MR. RICE: Thank you. Just a couple 13 preliminary comments. 14 We were last at a hearing on 15 April 30th, I believe, and Mr. Hetzel was 16 on the witness stand, and I think Mr. 17 Broseman, you'll have some redirect, and 18 then we'll see where we go after that. Just to get on the record, since it's 19 20 been two months plus since we've had a 21 hearing, I just want to make sure that all 22 of the parties, attorneys involved, that 23 we're waiving all of the time periods under 24 the MPC.

There are certain state law
requirements when you have conditional use
hearings. You've got to complete it within
a certain period of time.

The principal case has to be put on
within a certain period of time. The

The attorneys sitting up front understand what I'm talking about, but it's a state law requirement. I try to bring it up before every hearing.

township has to guarantee a certain number

So is there an agreement on the record with all of the parties that all of the time periods under the MPC are waived at this point?

Mr. Broseman?

of hours, et cetera.

MR. BROSEMAN: Good evening. I'm George Broseman, for the applicant.

Yes, we had, as you recall, scheduling conflicts, and then I had a surgery in July. I'm still wearing my sling.

So I agree that we're okay on the time period for conducting the hearing, and I

1	waive the requirement for the timing with
2	regard to that and confirm that.
3	MR. RICE: Thank you.
4	Mr. Marlier?
5	MR. MARLIER: Yes. No objection to
6	waiving any time periods under the MPC.
7	MR. RICE: Any objection from any of
8	the resident parties to that broad waiver?
9	
10	(No response.)
11	
12	MR. RICE: Okay. So with that, Mr.
13	Hetzel, you are still under oath, and Mr.
14	Broseman, he's your witness on redirect.
15	MR. BROSEMAN: Thank you.
16	
17	ERIK W. HETZEL,
18	having been previously duly sworn, was examined
19	and testified further as follows:
20	
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	
23	BY MR. BROSEMAN:
24	Q. Erik, at the last hearing, there was

some discussion about the mix of bedroom units 1 2 for the proposed townhomes and the number of 3 bedrooms that you had used in the fiscal impact 4 study you submitted and whether that was three bedrooms or four bedrooms a unit. 5 6 You testified regarding the impact if 7 it was assumed that all were four-bedroom units. 8 Do you recall that? 9 I do, yes. Α. 10 And although you verbally testified 0. 11 regarding that topic, have you now prepared a 12 chart that summarizes that analysis? 13 Α. I did. 14 And can you bring up Exhibit A-28? Q. 15 16 (Applicant's Exhibit A-28 was marked for identification.) 17 18 19 THE WITNESS: On the screen is Exhibit 20 That shows a fiscal summary using A-28. 21 all the same background assumptions that my 22 original analysis used except that we 23 assumed four-bedroom units instead of

three-bedroom units, and all that really

impacted was the population projection because the population multipliers relate to four-bedroom townhouse units in this study.

BY MR. BROSEMAN:

- Q. And can you explain the two columns that you have there on the right?
- A. Yes. The column on the left describes the development, the number of units, the market value per unit, the total market value, total assessed value, and then the residential population projection and the school-aged children population, and then it shows the net township fiscal impact and the net school district fiscal impact under that new population projection.

How it relates to the earlier analysis is because there are more people as a result of the four-bedroom multipliers I used.

It diminished the net positive fiscal impact to both the township and the school district on the basis of that cost adjustment.

Q. And then why did you do the right column, and can you explain that?

1 The right column, I used the same Α. 2 Rutgers multipliers for the total persons per 3 unit, but for the school-aged children population 4 projection, I used a multiplier that I derived 5 after speaking with the Radnor Township School 6 District Transportation Department about their 7 census of students that live in a sample of 8 similar townhouse communities in the township, 9 where it was discovered that out of 39 townhouse 10 units in Radnor Township that's based on a list 11 of similar properties, there are three 12 school-aged children that are accounted for by 13 the school district. 14

So that relates to a population multiplier of .0769 school-aged children per unit. So just for information sake, I presented that modeled analysis.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In the right-hand column using, out of the 38 units that are proposed, using that multiplier, it projects there will be three school-aged children, which then there's obviously a much lower number than the Rutgers multipliers projects, hence the school district fiscal impact is much higher because the costs

1 are much lower. 2 And you testified last time how you 3 got that number from the school district and what 4 projects you looked at; correct? I did. And I'd just like to correct 5 6 on this exhibit, this number down at the, on note number two should read 0.0769, just to correct 7 8 it. 9 Thank you. You received some 0. 10 questions last time regarding the methodology 11 that you used to prepare the fiscal impact study. 12 You had testified that you prepared 13 that in accordance with generally-accepted 14 practices for fiscal impact analysis for proposed 15 land development projects. 16 Do you recall that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And have you utilized the same Q. 19 methodology that you utilized here for any other

- land development projects that you worked on in Radnor Township?
 - Α. I have.

20

21

22

23

- And what project was that? 0.
- More recently, the 60 West Concordia Α.

1	development. That's a mixed-use project located
2	on West Avenue at the corner of Lancaster Avenue
3	and Bellevue Avenue.
4	And it's a multifamily condominium
5	type of development with a small amount of retail
6	on the first floor.
7	Q. And you didn't testify at that
8	hearing; correct?
9	A. I did not testify. I provided my
10	analysis, and the applicant submitted that as an
11	exhibit.
12	Q. And at Exhibit A-30 and for the
13	record, we're skipping over A-29 for now. We're
14	going to come back to that.
15	
16	(Applicant's Exhibit A-30 was marked
17	for identification.)
18	
19	BY MR. BROSEMAN:
20	Q. Is this an exhibit list that was found
21	on the Radnor Township website for the exhibits
22	that were used in that hearing?
23	A. It is.
24	Q. And is your fiscal impact study listed

1 there?

- A. It is. It's listed as Exhibit A-8.
- Q. And did you use the same methodology that you used for the current fiscal impact study for this application in that application?
- A. I did. I used the same methodology and the same source of demographic multipliers, but because the unit types were multifamily as opposed to single family attached, the multipliers were certainly different, but methodology and the source of the multipliers was identical.
- Q. Is that Rutgers information that you used? Is that the source?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And did you receive any comments that the fiscal impact study or the methodology or data was found to be unsuitable with regard to that conditional use application?
 - A. I received no comments.
- Q. Is it your understanding that the township granted conditional use approval for that project?
 - A. That's my understanding, yes.

Q. At the last hearing, you received questions, some questions concerning the dates of some of the source information, I guess, for the multipliers that you used in this study.

Can you explain why you believe, in your professional opinion, the use of the methodology and data that you used was valid for this study?

A. Well, first it's a fairly commonly-used data source for population when you don't have available to you various type of local multipliers that are derived for the specific community that you're in.

It is specific to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and it's one of the only sources out there -- I'm speaking to the Rutgers multipliers now -- is one of the only sources out there that characterizes the per-unit population on the basis of unit type, whether single family detached, single family attached, multifamily, owned or rented, and by the number of bedrooms one, two, three, four, or five bedrooms, depending on the type of development.

So being that we're trying to show

1 order of magnitude estimates of fiscal impacts, 2 and the population is the driving factor for the 3 cost portion of the analysis, we find that the 4 Rutgers multipliers are suitable and in some 5 cases tend to overestimate, depending on the land 6 use type. 7 0. Overestimate what? 8 Overestimate population. Α. 9 0. And --10 So we feel it's often a conservative Α. 11 estimate, conservatively high. 12 0. And was that borne out by the 13 information you received from the Radnor School 14 District regarding other similar townhome 15 projects in the township? 16 It was. I consider these multipliers, 17 that multiplier that I used that was based on 18 that very local and very current data to be as 19 reliable as anything. 20 And that showed a much -- basically 0. 21 showed that your analysis was very conservative. 22 Is that fair to say?

Q. Notwithstanding your professional

That's fair to say, yes.

23

24

Α.

opinion that you've given about the methodology being used as appropriate, I recall last time that someone brought up the DVRPC, which stands for the Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission, and whether they had multipliers and things like that.

Do you recall that?

- A. I do.
- Q. And did you take a look at the DVRPC multipliers?
- A. I did. And those multipliers were developed for the DVRPC by Econsult Solutions, which is an economic modeling company, and they were created to assist with the development of a report that analyzes the land use and socioeconomic impacts of multifamily housing specifically, but they did include some analysis of other housing types in addition to multifamily housing numbers.

So I was able to use some of those numbers to do sort of a comparative analysis of those somewhat more current and more local to southeastern Pennsylvania numbers.

Q. And drawing your attention to Exhibit

1	A-29, is that a copy of that summary?
2	A. That is.
3	
4	(Applicant's Exhibit A-29 was marked
5	for identification.)
6	
7	THE WITNESS: It looks similar to
8	Exhibit A-28.
9	It's a similar summary comparison
10	where I used again all of the same
11	background assumptions as I did in my
12	original analysis, except for the
13	population multipliers, I used the DVRPC
14	Econsult 2018 multipliers.
15	And you'll note that it's for
16	townhomes of all bedroom configurations,
17	because that source did not break out
18	multipliers by the number of bedrooms.
19	It just had single family attached,
20	such as townhomes, across all bedroom
21	configurations.
22	So it's not specific to four bedroom
23	or three bedroom. It's just all of the
24	bedroom types.

BY MR. BROSEMAN:

- Q. And can you explain the two columns, as you did with A-28?
- A. Yes. Again, on the left column, it characterizes the development by showing the 38 residential units, the same value per unit, the assessed value based on the common level ratio, and then it projects the new residential population using those DVRPC multipliers.

It projects that there would be 103 total persons living in the development. That's based on the DVRPC multiplier of 2.72 total persons per unit.

And the school-aged children is projected to be 23 school-aged children based on a multiplier of 0.6.

And that's total school-aged children.

That doesn't account for students that attend

nonpublic schools.

- Q. And the right column, could you go over that?
- A. The right column, again I used total persons number from the DVRPC Econsult Source, but again, I used the multiplier I derived from

the local Radnor Township School District
analysis I mentioned earlier that projected three
school-aged children to be living here, which is
a lower number than the 23 that was projected by
the Econsult numbers.

Q. And you mentioned now, it made me think, and you said this last time.

In all of your analysis for the anticipated or projected school-aged children, is it correct that you assumed that all would attend the Radnor public schools?

- A. That is correct. I took no deduction for students that may be attending nonpublic schools.
- Q. And so that was done to be extra conservative?
 - A. It was.

- Q. In your experience, is it borne out that way? Do a hundred percent of the school-aged students tend to go to Radnor public schools?
- A. No. I actually looked at a source from the U.S. Census, the American Community Survey five-year data set from 2022 that

1 estimates that roughly 79 percent of all 2 school-aged children living in Radnor Township 3 who are enrolled in school attend public schools 4 and 21 percent attend nonpublic schools. So the impact that would have on the 5 6 projection in the left-hand column is to reduce 7 that by about four or five school-aged children, 8 which in turn would reduce the cost to the school 9 district and result in a higher net fiscal impact 10 to the school district, a higher positive net 11 fiscal impact. 12 Q. Thank you. 13 MR. BROSEMAN: That's all I have for 14 Erik. 15 MR. RICE: Mr. Marlier? 16 MR. MARLIER: Yes. 17 MR. RICE: And, Mr. Broseman, do we 18 have paper copies of these exhibits? 19 MR. BROSEMAN: Yes, I do. 20 MR. RICE: Okay. Could we pass them 21 out to the board and any residents that 22 want them? 23 MR. BROSEMAN: My colleague will do 24 that, if that's okay. We've been also

1 e-mailing those to Peggy in past hearings, 2 so we could do that as well tomorrow. 3 4 RECROSS EXAMINATION 5 6 BY MR. MARLIER: Mr. Hetzel, looking at A-28 you just 7 Q. 8 testified from, the factor you're using as a 9 multiplier is the number of bedrooms; correct? 10 Α. Correct. 11 And are there any other factors one 0. 12 could use -- you chose to use bedrooms, I 13 understand that, but are there any other factors one can use in making this calculation? 14 15 Well, there's unit type, and this is specific to townhomes as opposed to single family 16 17 detached. There are some attributes that relate 18 to value of the home as well. 19 What kind of attributes? 0. 20 Just the value, that's about it, the Α. 21 sale price. It hasn't been adjusted for 22 inflation or anything, so I tend to use either 23 the number for all values or, in a case like

this, where I feel this is going to be a higher

- 1 value sale price, I'll use the highest category 2 of value in those multipliers. 3 Are there any other factors? Can you Q. 4 use the size of bedrooms? I haven't seen studies that use that 5 6 in the derivation of multipliers, no. 7 And at the last hearing back in April, 0. 8 you testified, and you did testify at Hamilton-1, 9 the conditional use application that was brought 10 a few years ago; correct? 11 Correct. Α. 12 Now, at that time, the size of the 0. 13 homes was substantially similar; correct? I don't recall the size of the homes 14 Α. 15 I wasn't involved in that aspect. I think there was -- I can't recall the home size 16 17 or the bedroom mix I used in that one. 18 Can you recall that there was three 0. 19 to four bedrooms proposed in those units as well? 20 I don't recall, but it's possible that 21 I used that number. 22 And if those units were substantially
 - similar in size, three to four bedrooms, a similar number of bedrooms, the number should be

23

1 similar to what you're stating that they would be 2 now; correct? 3 If they're the same unit type. As I Α. 4 recall, it was single family detached units as 5 opposed to townhouse units. 6 0. I wasn't here, you were. But reading 7 over the transcript, it's a very similar 8 proposal, townhomes, just 41, not 38. 9 Okay. I just don't recall. Α. 10 Does that refresh your recollection? 0. 11 It's been a couple of years, and I've Α. 12 done dozens of these studies since then, so. 13 But if they were substantially 0. 14 similar, the number should be similar; correct? 15 You didn't take -- the bedrooms being 16 the major factor that you're using, the number of 17 bedrooms? 18 Α. Correct. And the fact that they're townhomes? 19 ο. If that is, in fact, the case, then 20 Α. 21 that's true. 22 And your testimony tonight, these new 0. 23 calculations, you're still not taking into

account the size of the bedrooms; correct?

1 Α. Correct. 2 You're not taking into account still 0. 3 the potential for five bedrooms; correct? 4 Α. Correct. I used four bedrooms, 5 because that's what was asked at the last 6 hearing. 7 0. Understood. I also asked about five 8 bedrooms. 9 And, incidentally, I don't believe Α. 10 that Rutgers has a five-bedroom category for 11 single family attached homes. 12 You were consistent in that testimony. 0. 13 That's what you said last time as well. 14 And all of the testimony this evening 15 for A-28 and A-29, those two calculations, the 16 exhibits that show calculations, that's all --17 the square footage remains the same with the 18 homes. Nothing has changed in that regard; 19 correct? 20 Α. Square footage doesn't factor into 21 this analysis. 22 0. Does the DVRPC study that you used in

Exhibit A-29, that was one of the commissioners

questions at the last hearing, did it break down

23

1 the multiplier in any other way and any other 2 factors besides the ones you're using? 3 Α. It just broke it down by all bedroom 4 types and by single family attached homes. It also had a geographic component, 5 whereas it characterizes communities or the 6 7 geographic units that they used to derive the 8 multipliers as different levels of development, 9 and it considered Radnor Township to be a, quote, 10 "developed community." 11 So there are slight nuances between 12 things like a growing suburb, a developed 13 community, the entire DVRPC study area. 14 So there's slightly different 15 geographic nuances based on those geographic characteristics. 16 17 Q. And were all of those factors, are they all reflected in your calculations on A-29, 18 19 Exhibit A-29? 20 They are, to the extent that those are 21 the attributes that went into the derivation of 22 those multipliers that were used. 23 Please remind me when you spoke to 0.

Radnor, you testified at the last hearing that

1 you spoke to someone at the Radnor School 2 District. 3 When was that roughly? 4 It was this past spring. I think it Α. might have been in March or April. I can't 5 remember the date. I can provide that, but it 6 7 was as recent as this past spring. 8 Understood. And to your knowledge, Q. 9 regarding the conditional use hearing for 60 West 10 GP, West Avenue that was held on December 5th, 11 2023, did anyone bring up the DVRPC study? 12 Α. I wasn't asked to testify, so I wasn't 13 at the hearing, so I don't know if there was any 14 discussion at all about the fiscal analysis. 15 All I know is I wasn't asked to 16 provide any clarifications by my client, and I 17 tried to watch some of the hearings online, and I 18 did not hear any significant real discussion of 19 the fiscal analysis. MR. MARLIER: I have no further 20 21 questions. 22 MR. RICE: Okay. Resident parties. 23 I'm going to go through the list.

seems to be a few people missing from

1	April.
2	Amber Levy?
3	
4	(No response.)
5	
6	MR. RICE: Mr. Chawla?
7	
8	(No response.)
9	
10	MR. RICE: Mr. Clemente?
11	MR. CLEMENTE: No questions.
12	MR. RICE: Mr. Curley?
13	MR. CURLEY: No questions.
14	MR. RICE: Mr. Gaeto?
15	
16	(No response.)
17	
18	MR. RICE: Cindy Hansen?
19	MS. HANSEN: No questions.
20	MR. RICE: Cas Holloway?
21	MR. HOLLOWAY: No questions.
22	MR. RICE: Mr. Hymel?
23	
24	(No response.)

1	
2	MR. RICE: Ms. Lafarge?
3	
4	(No response.)
5	
6	MR. RICE: Mary Ann Mahoney?
7	
8	(No response.)
9	
10	MR. RICE: Margaret Ruschmann?
11	
12	(No response.)
13	
14	MR. RICE: Mr. Sareen?
15	
16	(No response.)
17	
18	MR. RICE: Mr. Satterfield? Any
19	questions?
20	MR. SZARY: Yes, I do.
21	MR. RICE: Just make sure you speak
22	into the microphone.
23	
24	EXAMINATION

- - -

MR. SZARY: Gregory Szary, 6 Forrest

Lane. In your testimony, you mentioned

several times and were very clear that you

were talking about single family attached

or townhouse units, as if there is a

difference between that and -- a difference

in the multipliers between that and, say,

single family detached homes.

Is there, in fact, a difference?

THE WITNESS: I believe there is.

MR. SZARY: Okay. Do you know why there would be a difference?

THE WITNESS: It's borne out by the data. I think it could have something to do with where people are in their lives when they purchase an attached product versus a detached product.

They tend to have larger families if they're living in a detached product, and that's borne out by the data. Anecdotally, I can say my observations concur with that.

MR. SZARY: All right. Thank you. That's all I needed to find out. Thank

1	you.
2	MR. RICE: Steve Scheri?
3	MR. SCHERI: No questions.
4	MR. RICE: Megan Scheri?
5	MS. SCHERI: No questions.
6	MR. RICE: Mr. Schuda is not here.
7	Kaitlin Silver?
8	
9	(No response.)
10	
11	MR. RICE: Greg Szary?
12	
13	(No response.)
14	
15	MR. RICE: Sharon Willis?
16	MS. WILLIS: No questions.
17	MR. RICE: David Willis?
18	MR. WILLIS: No questions.
19	MR. RICE: Cheryl Lutz?
20	
21	(No response.)
22	
23	MR. RICE: Okay. Any board questions?
24	Commissioner Larkin?

1 2 **EXAMINATION** 3 4 MR. LARKIN: I think it probably goes 5 without saying, but we're making a record, 6 so I do want to, number one, say it, and 7 number two, if I'm wrong, I want to correct 8 my misapprehension. The numbers you're providing us with 9 10 are statistical averages; is that correct? 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 12 MR. LARKIN: So in any given year, it 13 would be sheer coincidence if you hit the 14 numbers on the head. 15 We're looking for numbers that cluster 16 a little bit above, a little bit below; is 17 that correct? 18 THE WITNESS: Correct. It's meant to 19 provide an order of magnitude estimate to 20 demonstrate whether or not a development 21 comes close to paying for itself or has 22 disproportionate impacts compared to what 23 one might expect from a similar 24 development.

1	MR. LARKIN: Got it. I want to focus
2	specifically on the school-aged children
3	issue.
4	There is nothing that would require
5	there to be three new school-aged children
6	at this development. It could be zero;
7	right?
8	THE WITNESS: Correct.
9	MR. LARKIN: And it could be half a
10	dozen or more in each home; correct?
11	THE WITNESS: Half a dozen school-aged
12	children?
13	MR. LARKIN: Correct.
14	THE WITNESS: I suppose it's possible,
15	yes.
16	MR. LARKIN: And it's statistically
17	unlikely, but you're telling us it's not
18	impossible?
19	THE WITNESS: Correct.
20	MR. LARKIN: And you might see a
21	number that, although you're probably not
22	going to get that number of children every
23	single year, you might see one year in
24	which you get a lot of families move in

1 that have children, and then that falls off 2 over time; correct? 3 So you could have one large year, and 4 then it's followed by a number of smaller years; right? 5 6 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 7 MR. LARKIN: Thank you. 8 MR. RICE: More commissioner 9 questions? THE PRESIDENT: I have one. I'm just 10 11 a little bit confused. 12 When we look at this table or the 13 other one, the net school district fiscal 14 impact, why is it lower if there are more children? 15 16 Like with 24, it's only \$13,000, but 17 with three, it's \$578,000. 18 THE WITNESS: Because that's a net 19 annual fiscal impact, which is revenues 20 over costs, and the more children there 21 are, the more costs there are, which 22 reduces the value of the revenues that are 23 collected from things like taxes and other 24 sources.

1 So it costs roughly 28,000 to 30,000 2 per student in the school district to 3 educate them, so with every child that's 4 there, it adds that amount of cost. THE PRESIDENT: Thanks. That's very 5 helpful. 6 MS. AGNEW: Same thing. So the 7 Rutgers 2006, does that number take into 8 9 account the cost of special ed? 10 THE WITNESS: It's all of the 11 expenditures in the school district, so 12 yes, everything that's budgeted is 13 accounted for. 14 It's basically taking the total expenditures, divided by the number of 15 16 students in the school district, to arrive, 17 and that includes special ed, special 18 programs, student activities, things like 19 that. 20 MS. AGNEW: Children who are educated 21 outside the school district with 22 extraordinary needs as well? 23 THE WITNESS: If it's in the budget, 24 it's accounted for. If it's in the school

1	district budget, it is accounted for in
2	that cost-per-student number.
3	MS. AGNEW: Thank you.
4	MR. RICE: Any other commissioner
5	questions?
6	MS. MULRONEY: Yes. I just want to
7	clarify something. I think I may have
8	misheard, but that's why I'm asking.
9	You had mentioned comparables, and
10	that's when you brought up 60 West, when we
11	were looking at these charts, about the
12	fiscal impact.
13	Did I hear that that was one of your
14	comps?
15	THE WITNESS: That was not a
16	comparable. It's a different type of
17	development.
18	MS. MULRONEY: That's why I was
19	questioning that.
20	THE WITNESS: That would be a
21	multifamily. My recollection, I'm not sure
22	if it still is this, but when we modeled
23	it, it was a multifamily, five-plus units
24	in a building, owned units as opposed to

rental units.

MS. MULRONEY: So other than running the data, which I agree, 2006 seems a little bit old for a model. I don't know how often these get updated.

But other than running it through the model, did you do any comps for existing townhome communities here in Radnor?

Have you looked at what an existing townhome community in Radnor produces as far as fiscal impact, the number of kids, that sort of thing?

THE WITNESS: Well, the number of kids, we looked, as I mentioned, we looked at 39 units -- I don't have the list in front of me -- and asked the school district, because they need to know how many students live there, because they need to bus them. That's school-aged, period, not just kids that attended Radnor schools.

And their estimate was there's a total of three school-aged kids living in those 39 similar townhouse units.

MS. MULRONEY: So you gave the school

1 district which units you were comparing, 2 and they gave you the data? 3 THE WITNESS: I did, and they 4 concurred that -- they represented what they felt would be a comparable type of 5 6 community. 7 MS. MULRONEY: Sorry. I don't mean to 8 be -- I'm just trying to get my head around 9 it. 10 So it was a complex of 39 units, or a 11 grouping, not a unit over there in a 12 townhome and another townhome on the other 13 side of town. It was a neighborhood? 14 THE WITNESS: It was several 15 neighborhoods. I didn't pick out any 16 individual. 17 I just said, of all these homes that exist on these streets, how many students 18 19 are generated by those townhomes. 20 They were all townhomes, not single 21 family detached or apartment units. They 22 were townhome communities. 23 MS. MULRONEY: And they were all 24 townhomes that would be close in value to

1 this neighborhood? 2 THE WITNESS: In my estimation, 3 according to the Delaware County property 4 records, they all came in with assessed values very similar to what these values 5 6 were modeled at. 7 MS. MULRONEY: Okay. Thank you. 8 MS. AGNEW: What department -- who at 9 the school district did you speak with? 10 THE WITNESS: The transportation 11 department. It's the department that is in 12 charge of busing. 13 They need to plan bus routes, how many 14 students need to be picked up from each --15 on each street and each bus stop. So they 16 break it down, I believe, by street. 17 MS. AGNEW: Why did you go there 18 instead of like a superintendent? 19 THE WITNESS: I started with the 20 superintendent, and they direct you, they 21 typically direct you to the transportation 22 department, because that's the department 23 that maintains that level of expertise. 24 Each year it's updated.

1 MS. AGNEW: How many other homes, 2 townhomes, are valued comparable to this 3 that you said from the transportation 4 department. 5 THE WITNESS: Are valued as 6 comparable? 7 MS. AGNEW: Well, you said you went to 8 townhomes that were, I believe that's what 9 you said you did, you went to, you asked 10 about townhomes, of the transportation 11 department, you asked about townhomes of a 12 similar value to get the numbers of school 13 children. 14 THE WITNESS: So George just provided 15 me with my previous testimony. So we 16 looked at all relatively newer 17 developments. 18 Specifically, I looked at 11 units at 19 Villa Strafford; eight units at Wayne Walk; 20 six units at Jardin; eight units at Wicklow 21 Court; and six units at Bloomingdale 22 Avenue, all relatively newer townhome 23 communities that have very similar 24 characteristics to what's being proposed.

1	MS. AGNEW: And they have very
2	little very few children, not little
3	children, but few children living in these
4	townhomes?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes. A total of three
6	have been, that are school-aged kids that
7	the transportation department has a record
8	of.
9	THE PRESIDENT: Just a quick
10	clarification.
11	You were talking, I think it was when
12	you were talking about 60 West, but you
13	mentioned there were differences in rental
14	properties versus properties that are
15	owned.
16	Did you mean that there are
17	differences in the number of children?
18	THE WITNESS: The multipliers get down
19	to that level of detail. They make
20	distinctions between owner-occupied versus
21	renter-occupied units.
22	THE PRESIDENT: And what did you use?
23	THE WITNESS: I used owner occupied,
24	correct, yes.

_	
1	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.
2	MR. MARLIER: Mr. Rice, I just have a
3	quick follow up.
4	MR. RICE: Well, hold on. I get to go
5	before you.
6	
7	EXAMINATION
8	
9	MR. RICE: And again, just following
10	up on what Ms. Mulroney started with, I had
11	the same questions.
12	Both of these 29 and 28 talk about
13	comparable townhouse properties in Radnor
14	Township.
15	So is that the list that George just
16	gave you? They're the comparable townhouse
17	properties in Radnor Township?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	MR. RICE: No other properties other
20	than those?
21	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
22	MR. RICE: Okay. So who developed
23	that list?
24	THE WITNESS: We I developed it on

1	the basis of looking to find newer
2	townhouse communities as opposed to older
3	townhouse communities or older, other types
4	of housing.
5	MR. RICE: You took that, that was
6	your list. You took it to the school
7	district?
8	THE WITNESS: Correct.
9	MR. RICE: And what information did
10	they give you once you took it to the
11	school district?
12	THE WITNESS: I asked them, there are
13	these 39 units in these, on these streets,
14	and they came back, they didn't break it
15	out by on this street, there's this number
16	of kids, on that street, there's that
17	number of kids.
18	They came back and said, out of all of
19	those 39 units, we have three students.
20	MR. RICE: Coming from there?
21	THE WITNESS: Correct.
22	MR. RICE: And that was the
23	transportation director?
24	THE WITNESS: That's correct.

1 MR. RICE: Do we have that list of properties in the record other than in the 2 3 testimony? Is there a separate exhibit? 4 MR. BROSEMAN: I don't believe there 5 was a separate exhibit, but it was in the 6 testimony at pages, at April 30th, starting 7 at page 68 and 69. MR. RICE: All right. I think Mr. 8 9 Hetzel repeated it tonight. Okay. That's 10 all I had. 11 MS. MULRONEY: I know I feel like 12 we're sort of really drilling down on this 13 one, but I just heard you say you looked at 14 these townhomes, and there was six on this 15 block and three on that one. 16 Was that the entire neighborhood, was 17 six townhomes on that one block, or are you 18 parceling out pieces of the neighborhood to 19 get a sufficient amount of numbers? 20 THE WITNESS: That's the entire 21 neighborhood. 22 MS. MULRONEY: The entire 23 neighborhood. So you pulled together 24 several neighborhoods, because they're not

1	as big as this one, so you had to go to a
2	multiple to get to the similar amount of
3	units?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes. And just, I think,
5	a bigger sample size is going to be better.
6	I mean, some of those may have none,
7	and I don't think that would be an accurate
8	representation either. A bigger sample
9	size, I think, is
10	MS. MULRONEY: But you didn't go
11	bigger than how big this neighborhood would
12	be?
13	THE WITNESS: I didn't really identify
14	any other units in the township that I
15	felt were similar.
16	MS. MULRONEY: Can you say them again?
17	I know you read them out.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes. Eleven units at
19	Villa Strafford; eight units at Wayne Walk;
20	six units at Jardin; eight units at Wicklow
21	Court; and six units at Bloomingdale
22	Avenue.
23	MS. MULRONEY: Thank you.
24	MR. BROSEMAN: I would note also that

1	when Mr. Lambert testified, we looked at
2	those units for other characteristics,
3	primarily the internal driveways.
4	MS. AGNEW: Are you saying there's
5	only eight units at Wicklow Court?
6	THE WITNESS: That's what I had in my
7	list, yes.
8	MR. RICE: Mr. Marlier?
9	MR. MARLIER: I just have a couple
10	questions.
11	
12	RECROSS EXAMINATION
13	
14	BY MR. MARLIER:
15	Q. Do you remember who you spoke with at
16	the transportation department?
17	A. Whoever the director of transportation
18	is. The name escapes me.
19	Q. And did you get anything in writing,
20	or was this in a conversation?
21	A. I believe it was in e-mail. I could
22	be wrong. It might have just been a
23	conversation.
24	I e-mailed the list to them. I can't

1	remember if they replied with an e-mail or if it
2	was verbal.
3	MR. MARLIER: Okay.
4	MR. RICE: Is that it, Mr. Broseman?
5	MR. BROSEMAN: Could I have a follow
6	up?
7	
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	
10	BY MR. BROSEMAN:
11	Q. You were asked by Mr. Marlier about,
12	he's calling it Hamilton-1.
13	I just wanted to clarify, we already
14	have in the record A-3, which was the prior
15	adjudication.
16	That application was submitted in
17	September of 2020; is that correct?
18	A. That's what the adjudication says,
19	yes.
20	Q. So is it fair to assume you would have
21	done your fiscal analysis for that sometime
22	earlier that year in 2020?
23	A. Or I think I started in 2019,
24	actually.

1	Q. Right. And since then, there's been a
2	significant increase in the market value of units
3	like this?
4	A. That's been my observation.
5	Q. Thank you.
6	MR. BROSEMAN: That's all I have.
7	MR. RICE: All right. Mr. Broseman,
8	any more witnesses?
9	MR. BROSEMAN: Not for my case in
10	chief.
11	
12	(Witness excused.)
13	
14	MR. BROSEMAN: I had one exhibit I
15	would like to introduce.
16	MR. RICE: Okay.
17	MR. BROSEMAN: I had mentioned
18	should I do that now or after?
19	MR. RICE: Sure.
20	MR. BROSEMAN: I had mentioned to Mr.
21	Marlier in going over my notes, I had put
22	into the record Exhibit A-3, which was the
23	adjudication from 2020 on what Mr. Marlier
24	calls Hamilton-1.

I wanted to submit into the record the plan that was submitted for that.

There's been a lot of questions about what the differences are between that plan and this plan, and Mr. Marlier has even raised the issue of res judicata, and I wanted to have this in the record so that would be in there.

So we've marked as Exhibit A-27 the plans from that application for the 41 townhomes, and I would just like to make that part of the record here to go along with Exhibit A-3, the adjudication.

MR. RICE: Okay. Let me just ask you about A-27. There's no date on it, at least on the front page.

MR. BROSEMAN: I could help you, Mr. Rice. A couple things.

We've noted that the first page is former Exhibit A-7 from the conditional, the prior conditional use adjudication. That was an updated sheet at that time.

It is dated in the bottom right-hand corner August 21, 2020, and it had a

1 revision date number one of December 8, 2 2020, revised layout. 3 MR. RICE: Okay. So you're offering 4 this, Mr. Broseman, just to address the res 5 judicata argument that this was the plan that was considered part of the application 6 7 in, I'll call, it Hamilton-1. 8 MR. BROSEMAN: Yes. And just also, 9 there's a second page that then has 15 10 sheets. 11 That was the original full set, and 12 it's noted there that that was Exhibit A-4 13 from that, so just to be complete, we've 14 submitted both. 15 What happened was A-7 was an update of 16 A-4 based on some review comments that had 17 been received. 18 So this is essentially the plans that 19 related to that adjudication that was at 20 Exhibit A-3. 21 MR. RICE: Okay. 22 MR. MARLIER: Mr. Rice, we could, I'd 23 like to incorporate by reference the 24 exhibits and the transcripts from

MR. RICE: Well, let's not -- I've got to handle all of the exhibits, and depending on who's unhappy, they've got to be put together and sent to court at the end of the day.

So I don't see any issue with this. It's a public record. Mr. Marlier, any questions about this in particular?

MR. MARLIER: Again, I just raised the point about incorporating by reference the entire record considering I'm not opposed to A-27 coming in, but there may be things in the record that belie some of the information in A-27, and we wouldn't know it in this record if --

MR. RICE: Well, you'll have an opportunity to rebut this if there's something else you want to add from that, but that was a fairly large record.

I mean, there were several nights of testimony, as I recall, at least four or five, and a lot of exhibits.

And if we have to incorporate this

1 into some kind of an appeal down the road, 2 then you're going to have this record, and 3 you're going to have this record, and it's 4 going to go to a judge that has no idea what they're getting from Radnor Township. 5 So I would keep it clean, as much as 6 possible. And this will be A-27 for 7 8 purposes of this hearing. 9 (Applicant's Exhibit A-27 was marked 10 11 for identification.) 12 13 MR. RICE: If you or Mr. Broseman want 14 to add anything else from Hamilton-1, we 15 could do that before we close the record. 16 So if the board's okay with that, 17 then, Mr. Broseman, anything else? 18 MR. BROSEMAN: I don't know if now is 19 the time to do it, but I would like to ask 20 that my exhibits be made part of the 21 record, and I'd like to reserve for 22 rebuttal, but that's the end of my case in 23 chief. 24 MR. RICE: Okay. So all of the

1	exhibits will be admitted.
2	
3	(All Applicant Exhibits received in
4	evidence.)
5	
6	(Applicant rested its case in chief.)
7	
8	MR. RICE: And, Mr. Marlier, do you
9	have a witness?
10	MR. MARLIER: I do.
11	MR. RICE: Let's take about five
12	minutes.
13	MR. MARLIER: I've just got to set up
14	a couple things on the screen.
15	MR. BROSEMAN: Could I mention that I
16	was asked at the prior proceedings to
17	provide the name and the subject of all of
18	my witnesses, which I did each time.
19	I had asked Mr. Marlier for that, and
20	I did not receive that. I just heard that
21	he might have a land planning witness, so I
22	would like to get that information.
23	And I feel a little, I'm maybe not as
24	prepared as I might have liked to have been

1 since I provided the same info to all of 2 the other parties. 3 MR. RICE: I understand, Mr. Broseman, 4 but as you know, as well as Mr. Marlier knows, there's no discovery in zoning 5 6 matters. 7 So I don't know what discussions have 8 been between you and Mr. Marlier, but it 9 happens all over the state. 10 Witnesses show up, and you have to be 11 prepared, assuming witnesses come in to 12 testify. 13 MR. MARLIER: And just to be clear for 14 the record, Mr. Broseman did ask. I did 15 let him know that I would be calling a land 16 planner. I think that's plenty sufficient. 17 MR. RICE: So there's no rules here. 18 I understand your complaint. But let's 19 just take ten minutes. 20 MR. BROSEMAN: Again, I just wanted to 21 say, I appreciate that, but I was 22 specifically asked many times to give the 23 names and the topic of the area of expertise, and I did do that. 24

1	I gave that to the board and all the
2	parties. So I understand I wasn't
3	obligated to do that, but I was asked to,
4	and I did do that.
5	MR. MARLIER: And I would just say
6	that my case in chief is starting now.
7	I understood that there probably was
8	going to be one more witness, but I had no
9	idea where Mr. Broseman's case was actually
10	going.
11	It could have gone in a very different
12	direction. Who knows? Now that it's
13	closed, I have a witness, and we're ready
14	to go.
15	MS. AGNEW: May I, just because I said
16	I would check, there are, in fact, eight
17	townhomes in Wicklow Court.
18	MR. RICE: Okay. Let's go off the
19	record and take our ten minutes.
20	
21	(At 7:42 p.m. a recess was taken,
22	resuming at 7:54 p.m. as follows:)
23	
24	MR. RICE: Okay. We'll begin with Mr.

1	Marlier's case in chief.
2	And do you have a witness, Mr.
3	Marlier?
4	MR. MARLIER: I do have a witness, Mr.
5	Rice. I would call Golda Speyer.
6	
7	RADNOR TOWNSHIP'S EVIDENCE
8	
9	GOLDA SPEYER,
10	having been first duly sworn, was examined and
11	testified as follows:
12	
13	THE WITNESS: My name is Golda Speyer,
14	spelled G-O-L-D-A, last name spelled
15	S-P-E-Y-E-R.
16	
17	MR. MARLIER: Right off the bat, I'd
18	like to have Ms. Speyer's CV marked as, I
19	guess, Township-1, T-1, if I could, and
20	I'll pass out copies.
21	
22	(Township's Exhibit T-1 was marked for
23	identification.)
24	
J.	

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Voir Dire) 2 3 BY MR. MARLIER: 4 Ms. Speyer, could you, for the board, Q. 5 just describe your educational and professional 6 background? 7 Α. So just reading off my own Sure. 8 resume, professionally I'm a planner, a principal 9 planner at my company. We're a land use planning 10 firm called Topology. 11 I do very high-level, oversee land 12 use, and supervise a team of land use planners as 13 well. I deal a lot with operations in my firm as 14 well. 15 I'm in charge of preparing staff reports, look at zoning codes, prepare technical 16 17 reports, look at site plans, conditional uses, 18 things of that nature. 19 If there was variances from zoning 20 codes, how to navigate zoning codes, prepare 21 professional reports for various bodies, 22 including court, councils such as this, and 23 largely planning and zoning boards.

Prior to that, I was working in the

public sector. Actually, I still do.

Even though I'm on private sector, I still do a lot of service for the public sector, but there was a time where I was actually a public sector employee.

I used to work for the City of Jersey
City in New Jersey, as well as the City of New
Brunswick. That's where actually Rutgers is
located.

And I did a lot of community
development and zoning administration work for
those two cities when I worked for them and
oversaw a bit of land use as well in those
processes around big development and zoning and
codes and land ordinances and funding and things
of that nature. So that's somewhat my
professional background.

My educational background, I have my master's in city and regional planning from Rutgers. I'm a two-time Rutgers graduate. I also have my bachelor's in planning and public policy and a dual, a dual major in political science.

But what kind of distinguishes me is

my licensure. I have three accreditations.

One of them is the American Institute of Certified Planners. That's a nationally-recognized certification through the American Planning Association.

The other one I have, I am a licensed planner in the state of New Jersey. I note that Pennsylvania doesn't have such a license in existence; otherwise, I'd love to get one.

But I did throw that out there because the work I do under that license is similar at looking at codes. I've looked at codes in New York as well. There's some parallels with that work.

And then last year actually I became a certified project manager, so I don't know if that's really relevant to my expertise here tonight, but it was a hard test though, just to talk about it, if I can.

And then I have various technical skills in planning, looking at zoning codes. I do a lot of geographic information systems.

They call it GIS, look at mapping and zoning mapping and neighboring context. I've

prepared zoning maps that have been adopted before as well as various land use maps.

And then I have a couple other certificates through the Rutgers continuing education, just courses in zoning administration.

- Q. And what kind of expert testimony will you be giving this evening?
- A. So tonight my focus is going to be mostly about zoning, analyzing the zoning code of Radnor, the conditional use, as well as the zoning code, so the general zoning code, and then what we have here is a conditional use, which has its own section in the zoning code. So I think that's where my expertise will be.
- Q. And have you been recognized as an expert in other municipalities?
 - A. I have, yes.
 - Q. About how many?
- A. Maybe like 30. I've done it both public and private, where I've represented clients on the private side, kind of on the other side of this table, and then I've done it on behalf of municipalities as well.
 - Q. Would you say roughly even split?

1 Probably more municipality, way more Α. 2 municipality. I would say probably two-thirds 3 municipality, maybe one-third private. 4 And have you reviewed applications Q. such as the one that's before this board 5 6 currently? 7 Α. I have. I've reviewed conditional 8 uses. I've reviewed multifamily development, new 9 construction similar to the density and the 10 acreage such as the application that's being 11 proposed. 12 0. And have you been recognized as an 13 expert by a municipality in Pennsylvania? 14 I have, yes. Α. 15 And is your knowledge of land 16 planning, and we touched on this a little bit, 17 but your knowledge of land planning transferable 18 from New Jersey to Pennsylvania? I would say yes. It's very common to 19 20 see the same, they call it the Ecode.com. 21 think that's what Radnor is also using. 22 very common to see kind of the same structure.

While I'll say zoning codes are obviously tailored to a municipality, you have

23

1	your permitted uses, conditional uses, prohibited
2	uses, your variance, dimensional standards such
3	as setbacks, coverages, design standards, things
4	of that nature, those conditions, what are those
5	conditions. I would say that same work seems to
6	carry from zoning code to zoning code.
7	Q. And has the township retained you to
8	testify this evening?
9	A. It has.
10	Q. And to review documents in this
11	conditional use hearing?
12	A. It has.
13	MR. MARLIER: At this time, Mr. Rice,
14	I'd call for Golda Speyer as an expert in
15	land use planning.
16	MR. RICE: Mr. Broseman, questions on
17	qualifications?
18	MR. BROSEMAN: Yes. Could I ask some
19	questions?
20	MR. RICE: Sure.
21	
22	CROSS EXAMINATION (Voir Dire)
23	
24	

BY MR. BROSEMAN:

Q. Good evening, Ms. Speyer. My name is George Broseman. I'm the attorney for the applicant here. I have some questions for you.

You said in your company, I think, you're responsible for operations?

- A. Um-hum.
- Q. Do I take that to mean that's like reviewing business-type operations, not necessarily zoning?
- A. I can clarify. So last year I got my project management license, and so what I do kind of operationally with my company is, you know, we have a finance team. I don't really mess with the finances.

But how do we bridge the gap between the planners and what kind of naturally happens at a business, and I'm using my project management skills lately on how to train new planners.

Our lowest planners, we call them

Planner I's, the lowest staff members, how to

train them to, you how, review codes, so that

kind of operation, training planners how to look

at a site plan, what's a lighting plan, what is a lighting fixture, what does this mean if this happens on a site plan.

So kind of, maybe I used the word operations. I'd say more high-level training and bridging it together with the other side of operations, the business side.

- Q. And you talked about the mix between your municipal work and private client or developer work, two-thirds for municipalities, you said?
- A. That was just a -- yes. My point is,

 I do more municipality than private.
- Q. Right. How many plans have you prepared for a development?
 - A. That I've prepared?
 - Q. Yes.

- A. I don't prepare plans. I will say,
 I'm not a licensed architect or a licensed
 engineer, but I've reviewed and I'm trained to
 know how to review such plans, but I don't, I
 don't prepare.
- Q. Land planners do prepare site plans at times; correct?

A. I wouldn't always advise that, but they could.

- Q. But you haven't prepared any?
- A. I've done like conceptual plans, but only for like due diligence, hey, here are the bulk requirements, here is the land, we can pull a survey, we can kind of measure setbacks, and we can kind of look at topography a little bit and look at constraints on the land where there's maybe steep slopes or environmental constraints that, you know, make this area really un-developable, but ultimately it becomes, it evolves as a project of, I'd say, like an engineer, the bulk.
- Q. And what are those plans that you prepared the conceptuals for, those projects?
- A. I've done concept plans for like parking lots that have been trying to expand.

We do a lot of redevelopment reports and projects, which are, you know, taking the zoning, it's essentially rezoning and looking at that land and what could conceptually go there working with architects. So I would say these are more illustrative.

- Q. And what are those? I'm asking you the specific projects.
 - A. Mixed use.
 - Q. Like the actual project, the property, like the location, the name of the project.
 - A. Like specifically?
 - O. Yes.

A. I know recently I worked on a concept plan in Elmsford, New York. We have, Dr. Pepper was a client of ours, and they were looking at a parking lot expansion.

Our team looked at like the existing parking lot, what the setbacks are. And there are a lot of nuances in their ordinance that it couldn't be ten feet to a building and it couldn't, it had to have a ten-foot buffer from a road.

So we were kind of plugging in all these little requirements of the code and then mocking up, again this isn't of civil engineering quality, but mocking up concepts of what can you kind of build because, as you know, it's very expensive to retain some professionals to prepare full-blown plans and doing a little bit of a

scrub of the zoning code very early just to look at some basic requirements, basic setbacks, looking at your land and conditions.

You can sometimes kind of mock up a little bit of a box, hey, do we want to take this further and retain civil, or is this site going to be very difficult and challenging, and then we'll advise, you know, it's more advisory to the client.

- Q. Understood. I understand. I'm just trying to get a list of specific projects and properties. Is that the only one you can give me that you've done a plan for, the concept plan?
- A. That one just comes to my mind because it wasn't too long ago. That's the only one coming to my mind right now.
- Q. Okay. You were asked if you were qualified in a Pennsylvania municipality. Which was that?
 - A. Jenkintown.
 - Q. Is that the only one?
 - A. That is the only one, yes.
 - Q. And what role were you there in?
 - A. I was there looking at their zoning

code, and there was a challenge by a client of ours as to the zoning code and if it was drafted in a way that rendered -- it was like a spot zoning question. So you could zone for something and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

then add conditions and that it has to be buffered so much from certain locations.

And once you start mapping that out, it turned out, you know, our findings was that it turned out to be, just one parcel was only allowed in the town, and that caused a legal question from there.

So our firm was to look at the zoning code and kind of draw up some buffer maps and provide that testimony.

- What property or project was that?
- Α. It was a billboard case. I don't remember the exact property off the top of my head.
- And you were working for the billboard 0. company?
- Α. Our client was, yes, was the billboard company.
 - Did the billboard get built? 0.

A. I don't know.

- Q. So that was the only time you've been recognized as an expert in Pennsylvania?
 - A. In Pennsylvania, yes.
- Q. And what board were you in front of for that?
- A. I was in front of the commissioners, the town commissioners.
- Q. Okay. And your planning work, can you tell us what other Pennsylvania planning work you've done?
- A. Specific to Pennsylvania, that case was my Pennsylvania case.

A lot of my work has been in New Jersey looking at site plans and advising planning and zoning boards as it relates to different types of variances, different types of degree of variances, looking at site plans and evaluating it against the metrics of the zoning code objectively and advising the bodies what an intent of a code may be, if it's in violation, what, like what is the negative impacts that may be associated with it, just guidance to the members of these commissions.

1	There may be implemented certain
2	conditions of approval in those cases. But it's
3	a zoning. It's similar to what I'm kind of
4	looking at here, is looking at a lot of the
5	zoning code.
6	Q. What did you say? I missed that.
7	A. Looking at the zoning code and looking
8	at like the black-and-white text, what it says,
9	and then also looking at maybe the intent of what
10	it means, especially when there's conditional
11	uses or deviations from uses or densities or
12	heights or things like that.
13	MR. BROSEMAN: That's all I have at
14	this time.
15	MR. MARLIER: Just real quick follow
16	up.
17	
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Voir Dire)
19	
20	BY MR. MARLIER:
21	Q. Mr. Broseman asked you a couple
22	questions about site design or plans that you've
23	prepared.
24	Just to be clear, how many plans

Т	roughly have you reviewed?
2	A. I've reviewed probably over 200 plans.
3	Q. And Mr. Broseman asked you about your
4	experience here in Pennsylvania, but again, you
5	have certain national accreditations; correct?
6	A. I do.
7	Q. And it's your testimony during voir
8	dire that what you have done the majority of in
9	New Jersey admittedly is transferable to the
10	types of things that we're looking at in this
11	case; correct?
12	A. Correct.
13	MR. MARLIER: That's all I have. No
14	further questions.
15	MR. RICE: Mr. Broseman, any objection
16	to this witness being qualified as an
17	expert in land use planning?
18	MR. BROSEMAN: Not at this time. I
19	may have an objection to her testimony
20	later, but I would note she has almost no
21	experience in Pennsylvania, but that's been
22	brought out in the record.
23	MR. RICE: Okay. Then Ms. Speyer will
24	be accepted as an expert in land use

LIER: Thank you, Mr. Rice.
?
E: Yes.
LIER: Thank you.
ECT EXAMINATION
yer, there's been a lot of
case, a lot of exhibits.
tart off by asking what you've
ation for your testimony this
reviewed the conditional use
ne applicant, and there's been
s that have evolved over time.
oked at Radnor's Comprehensive
speak to kind of as I get into
there's some relevant points
rehensive plan is probably
about with certain aspects of

1	I've looked at the Radnor Chapter 280
2	Zoning Ordinance, and I've reviewed some relevant
3	portions of the transcripts when it comes to
4	discussions around the, kind of like the site.
5	Q. Let me ask you, have you produced a
6	report based on your review of these documents
7	and the testimony?
8	A. I have. I've drafted a report, a
9	planning report based on my analysis.
10	Q. I'm going to pass you what I have
11	marked T-2.
12	
13	(Township Exhibit T-2 was marked for
14	identification.)
15	
16	BY MR. MARLIER:
17	Q. Is this the report that you've
18	prepared for the conditional use hearing?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. I'd like to mark this document as T-2,
21	Mr. Rice. I have copies for the entire board as
22	well I can distribute.
23	MR. RICE: Okay.
24	MR. MARLIER: I'll certainly e-mail

1	these to Peggy Hagan tomorrow,
2	commissioners.
3	BY MR. MARLIER:
4	Q. Ms. Speyer, if you can explain for the
5	board, this is a number of pages long.
6	Could you explain how your report is
7	broken down?
8	A. Sure. So no pun intended, but it is a
9	dense report. So kind of keeping in practice, I
10	like to offer planning guidance in a very
11	objective way.
12	So what this report does is it takes
13	what was my regulatory review of the zoning and
14	conditional use development and it puts it into
15	writing.
16	And what is does is it looks at
17	high-level zoning of the site, and then it goes
18	through what this applicant is proposing as a
19	conditional use and what those conditions are and
20	what the consistency or inconsistency against the
21	conditional use requirements are as I see it.
22	It takes a look at the zoning
23	ordinance, because there's really two parts of

the code here that we're looking at, which one is

the conditional use code and one is the zoning code. So I'll specify that further momentarily.

But what it does is it explains intent, I think, of code, using comprehensive plan and using language right from the Radnor code when it has sections that speak to meaning.

So what I mean by that is it's not sometimes as black and white, hey, here's a standard, do you follow it or not.

Sometimes within the code it will say the objective of the moderate density development is XYZ.

And so you can read some of these things that, you know, while it might not be like a metric to compare it to in like a zoning bulk dimensional chart, there is intent guidance there to think about and I think it's important for the commission to think about.

And then finally, this report will go over some of the findings of the comprehensive plan as I reviewed it.

Q. And if you could, Ms. Speyer, briefly explain to the commissioners what is unique about conditional uses and the review process?

- A. Sure.
- Q. And just to be clear, Ms. Speyer, if there's anything you're reading from your report or testifying off your report, I can go to the page so that all of the neighbors can see it.
- A. Yes. Maybe before I answer that question, I'll just show this page real quick, because it's really easy just to pass this one.

As we know, this is the R-4 district. It's the low/medium density residential. And so what we have here are your permitted uses, your special exception uses, and conditional uses.

So I think we called these townhomes. The word I'm going to try to stick with is density modification development, because that's the use of the zoning code.

That's how it describes it as one of the conditional uses. So when I say density modification development, I'm talking about this development.

So I'm just trying to get my vernacular out there. So maybe it helps to go to page three at this point.

But the question was about like what

is unique about conditional use applications, and it's really why we're at this body, the council, the commissioners.

As I said, this density modification development is one of the conditional uses in the R-4 and, in other words, it means that there should be a heightened regulatory review process and that this review process is what brings us to this body, and this body should look at it to make sure that we're following all sound planning and necessary safeguards and we're avoiding, you know, like any substantial negative impacts that might hit the community, because this isn't one of those uses that just goes, you know, by right or site plan.

It comes here first because there's a bit of an intensification or there was something about this use that the commissioners at one point -- I don't know if it's literally this group of commissioners.

But at some point the commissioners of Radnor said this type of use needs to be brought here as a conditional use for an added layer of review, and that really comes from Radnor's own

code where it talks about what the board of commissioners' role is.

And that's, if you want a particular section number, 280-134. Maybe we'll scroll back up there, like if you want to scroll down actually.

So the italics, what you're reading is just from the code itself, and it speaks to what the board of commissioners' role is.

And really in summation, it's what I explained, is that, you know, not every site may be appropriate for such a conditional use, and that's why this process exists, and that really is the sole discretion of the board of commissioners, to ensure that we're complying or that the standards are appropriate or may be deemed a basis for denial or for the imposition of appropriate conditions upon a grant of approval.

I know I kind of word jammed that in.

I was trying to read the code, but let me resay
that.

What I'm saying is that the code is speaking to the intent of this body and looking

at the standards and that this board has the ability to actually even reject applications if there is, if the record's clear that there is not a compliance with the conditions, conditional use.

So I think that the board of commissioners should follow the standards, you know, as described in your own code when it comes to evaluating and acting upon this application.

That's, I think, what makes it a unique process, is this conditional use process.

- Q. Would you say that health, safety, and welfare is something that the board should be looking at?
- A. Absolutely. That's kind of always like the big intent of zoning is health, safety, and welfare and to protect it.
- Q. So why don't we move to this specific application, if you would, Ms. Speyer, that brings us here this evening, your general thoughts, and we'll drill down deeper on what your general thoughts are about this application.
- A. Sure. So reviewing the applicant's plan and reviewing their consistency with the

conditional use, I think there's a couple of items that either need further clarification or might be considered variances from the conditional use that should at least have discussion by this board or that the board of commissioners should have the ability to review, hear it, and hear maybe further testimony if they need more clarification.

So there's three things with the conditional use's requirements that I found.

I'm just going to start with two like low-hanging ones that I think maybe even the applicant could clarify, and then I want to get into something a little bigger that I think we should probably have a discussion about, which is more around the open space, which I have my objective questions myself.

But let's just talk about some quick ones that the commissioners might want to, you know, think about or get more information on.

I myself, I'm still having a hard time confirming certain conditional use compliance based on my review of this application when it comes to certain things about the project's

design when it comes to height, for example.

One of the conditions is that a maximum of 35 feet is permitted. As far as I could see in the materials, it seems very limited in architectural renderings.

I'm not even sure if the renderings supplied were just general renderings or if it was this site particular on Strafford.

I usually see height in elevations, floor-to-floor heights with consideration of the roof peak.

And if we've looked at the Radnor definition of height, it's still a question, I think. Are they complying with 35 feet maximum?

So I think it's a fair question to still think about if this is an open-ended compliance item, because this directly goes not to just the zoning code, but the conditional use requirements.

- Q. In other words, Ms. Speyer, there may have been testimony as to the height, but you're talking about the application itself?
- A. Um-hum. And maybe there has been, I call it a bulk table. It could be a dimensional

table. But essentially the dimension requirement, here's your setback, here's your coverage, here's your height.

It's very easy to toss height into that just general bulk table, dimension table, but here in the conditional use standards, height is one of the conditions.

So I think clarification is probably warranted, considering that this is the board of commissioners that's being charged with overseeing the conditional use.

The other one is also what I think is kind of more low hanging at the moment, which is this townhome design, about how each townhome must have at least one plan element on any floor which projects or recedes within the wall plane of the facade a minimum dimension of two feet.

Again, this is limited in architectural details at the moment. The purpose of that type of regulation is so that you don't have a long, expansive wall.

These are town -- and I should stick to my vernacular of density modification development, but for the buildings, the townhomes

in multifamily, they're wider, and so I think that type of regulation gets put in so that there's a little bit of articulation here.

So I don't know if that has actually been provided at this time, but it is one of the conditional requirements.

But then if I was to kind of get into a more larger component about one of the conditional use requirements and intent around this density modification development, it really comes down to this whole clause which is written within the density modification development, like intense section about open space and preserving it and encouraging conservation and the use of open space in new residential development.

And what I can do next, if it helps the record, is I can read exactly what the conditions say.

- Q. I think for this one, if you could read the ordinance, that would be great, the ordinance section.
- A. Okay. So if you want to read along, there is a table in the back of this report starting on page 11. I don't know if you want to

scroll, so right here.

What this table does is it takes the conditional use standards around this development, and it's basically a chart, what is the requirement and what are they proposing, but also discussion about intent of the code.

So the first one about open space requirements, you know, speaks to not less than 25 percent of the tract area shall be designated in the subdivision or development plan as common open space.

Common open space may not include the required buffer yards, floodplain, or wetlands.

No more than ten percent of the required common open space may be used to meet the plan's stormwater management requirements, and all required common open space shall be contiguous unless the board of commissioners approves otherwise.

So to unpack that a little bit,

25 percent is required, whereas there's like a

ten percent allowance underground if there's

going to be stormwater infrastructure. You can

put up to ten percent of your requirement in a

1 manmade underground infrastructure.

So going off the plans and the zoning chart, what the applicant is proposing is
7.9 percent of the open space will be stormwater, so that's under the allowance.

What is still a little unclear to me is, and this is probably where I might turn to the Applicant's Exhibit A-12.

So starting with this plan, the open space proposed is the dark green, and if you zoom in or I have a -- yes.

So it highlights areas that are going to be infiltration beds. I don't know if you want to zoom in just so we can clearly see that.

So infiltration bed number two. The other one, I think, says infiltration bed. But that's kind of what I'm getting in terms of the details.

- Q. And what you're referring to with your laser pointer, Ms. Speyer, is the hashed line in rectangle to the left of townhome 25 and the hashed line in rectangle to the right of townhome 28; correct?
 - A. Correct. So if you were to turn to

the construction drawings, I don't know if it's like -- I don't know the exact page number, but maybe like six or seven here.

Q. Still on A-12?

A. It's still on the same exhibit. Yes.

Now zoom out. So what I see are details

referring to the infiltration bed, but what I

also see are a lot of other stormwater type

details.

So I would just like some clarity, if you want to now move it to the left a little bit, just to show like all these construction details.

- Q. And just to be clear, we're still looking at A-12, and this is sheet --
 - A. I think sheet seven, I think.
 - O. But it is Exhibit A-12?
- A. We can see it on the construction details, just to ensure that, and I think it might even go on to the next page, but we have different types of, you know, utility trenches.

It seems to be more construction details than just infiltration beds. If you want to scroll down, it may carry over to the next page, too.

MR. RICE: It looks like sheet seven.

MR. MARLIER: You say it's sheet

Thank you.

THE WITNESS: So I just would want,
you know, if I was a planner reviewing this
and kind of giving my own notes, I'd want
some confirmation, you know, is that all
within the infiltration bed?

Is that more details, more construction details, all these other infrastructure?

Are they going to be within that 25 percent area, just to get really an accurate accounting by this board.

BY MR. MARLIER:

seven?

Q. In other words, what we're looking at, the stormwater facilities in Applicant's Exhibit A-12, the stormwater facilities that are shown on these sheets, your concern is that some of them may not be within the hashed rectangles that we're looking at on sheet four and, therefore, there may be some of these facilities that, underneath the open space, that would create a higher percentage of stormwater facilities in the

1 open space; correct?

- A. Or it's at least unclear. I think we want more information to confirm that.
 - Q. Understood.
- A. So moving on to the next condition, common open space shall be land which is appropriate and in suitable condition for recreation, park site, school grounds, woodland conservation, or other similar recreation or open space purpose.

So I know that this is not the only exhibit at this point, and there's one with a circular path from the applicant. I don't know exactly which exhibit that one is anymore, but it is by their landscape architect.

But what it depicts, I'll just describe it. It depicts open space that seems to be pretty limited in programming. It's really just a four-foot path that kind of circles within itself.

Otherwise, there really is a lack of kind of any features to really use, like benches or picnic tables or pergolas or any kind of playground equipment or anything like that.

So kind of going back to the stormwater question, you know, ensuring that we have all the information on all of the construction details so that we're not interfering with certain footings.

If certain permanent structures want to be put into place, I think that should be clarified on the plan.

But what's probably the more concerning in terms of like intent is that I think that, you know, there's the number that we're talking about, 25 percent open space, but then how much of this is really usable.

And what I put together was an exhibit kind of within my report, so I guess my whole report is an exhibit.

But if you go to Appendix B in my report, what I did was I annotated the site plan up a little bit and, you know, comparing it to what like kind of might actually be really used at this space in real life based off of, you know, spatial distance from certain roads and the main road and the drive aisle and the parking spaces and privacy concerns of the houses.

What I mean by that is, you know, we might be at the 25 percent technically, but in reality, I think what might really be occurring is you've got, you know, here where I labeled it Part A on this annotated version of their Exhibit 12, you have areas that are right up against the drive aisle, right up against, you know, a road that has no sidewalk that in reality I don't think people are going to be sitting or using that space, especially with kids or animals so close to what is an active driveway.

Q. And just to be clear, Ms. Speyer, we're now back on our Exhibit T-2, and we're on Appendix B.

And what you're pointing out is you have lettered, put letters onto the applicant's proposed development indicating certain areas on the development and specifically in the open space; correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. And you were just pointing to the two A's kind of more towards the bottom, again next to townhome, I believe that's 25 and 28?
 - A. Yeah. Above 21, closer to where the

road bends on this Drive Aisle A on both bottom parts and then up above townhome 32, the areas are, you know, maybe they're literally counting in the 25 percentile, but when it comes to the condition about programming and contiguous use and appropriate and suitable condition is actually what the code language says exactly, I don't know how suitable these little areas become in counting towards this requirement.

Then what I have here, which is --

- Q. I'm sorry. When you say you don't know?
- A. What I mean by I don't know is I know, and that is, they're not.

It's probably less likely that areas right adjacent to drive aisles and roads and the parking spaces are going to have the users want to have some sort of buffer and setback from those spaces for safety reasons. And so --

- Q. So if we look at the A in the top left corner, it's surrounded by Drive A and by Strafford and by a townhome, I believe that's townhome 21; correct?
 - A. Correct. And then what I was going to

point out for B, what I have hatched around, again this is not to scale, I should point that out. It's for illustrative demonstration.

But what's within B and hatched around the townhomes, I'm trying to demonstrate that it's probably less likely that someone's going to use open space right against someone's house. It's pretty awkward.

I don't want to be in someone's backyard right against their window when I'm hanging out with my dog or something.

I'm going to want to get away from that, and the people in the house are probably going to want the people to get away from their house.

So in essence, these also become somewhat of like dead spaces, not dead spaces. They serve as buffers, which is great, allow for pervious coverage and buffers, but to say that it's useable open space is a little bit ludicrous to me.

And then coupled with A, you've got spaces right here that are, you know, as you said, surrounded by Strafford, right against

Drive Aisle B with no sidewalk, right adjacent to someone's place of residence.

You're starting to like close this box in to what is really just kind of a square in the center where letter D is, and that kind of becomes your real overall space, which then becomes more limited, and as of now, it's depicted with a circular path, not on this plan. I think it's on their landscape architect plan.

But, you know, what also becomes a little problematic with having these units kind of situated this way is then I think a self-burden kind of becomes created in that, like you're not going to see play equipment or certain types of things there, because then it generates noise. It generates nuisance to residents.

But I would almost say that that's self-created, right, because of the way this got designed out.

So not only are you limiting your open space to this small, little area, you've got to now respect, you know, the noise against the residents that are right there as well so that you're not having kids play and catch the ball

1 and screaming, and now the resident is annoyed 2 because there's screaming kids in their backyard. 3 So if we could break it down this way, Q. tell me if this is fair. 4 The four letters that you have on 5 6 there, the four A's, those are areas within the 7 open space that are more adjacent to either 8 roads, Strafford, or what they're calling drive 9 and townhomes; correct? 10 Um-hum. Α. 11 0. That's correct? I'm sorry? 12 Α. Say it one more time. 13 The four A's, they're either 0. Sure. 14 adjacent to Strafford or what they're calling 15 Drive A or Drive B and also adjacent to 16 townhomes? 17 Α. Correct. 18 And then what you've labeled as B are Q. 19 the areas hashed out that are very close to the 20 townhomes but being considered as open space; 21 correct?

A. Correct.

22

23

- O. And what would C be?
- A. Right, C. So within the open space

requirements, it allows you -- I wouldn't say allows. It sets a metric that 30 feet from the property line must be a buffer.

So what that means is you can't count, you know, the first 30 feet toward your open space, because it's contemplating something like this, that from the property line, you don't want to have something so close to the property line be counted as your open space, because that's like an active space likely.

And if you look at their property survey, their property line actually starts in the center of Strafford. I don't know exactly what the exact dimension is, if it's ten feet or 15 feet.

But what this means is rather than 30 feet from, you know, you think of a property line, you tend to think of like the sidewalk, right?

But technically, because that property line is extending way more into the right-of-way, it makes, it artificially makes their buffer lower, kind of to their benefit.

So I think putting this all together,

what we're doing is we're losing some contiguous space and programmable space by designing this in a way where you have all these areas that are unusable, and then we're kind of artificially getting to count certain areas as part of the percentage because you get the benefit of that property line being further into the street rather than to the face of that sidewalk.

So it's more square footage that gets to count on the applicant towards their 25 percent.

- Q. Understood.
- A. I know that was kind of a complicated one. I'd be happy to answer if that one went over some people's heads.
- Q. Sure. Sure. So if you, could you speak also to the contiguous nature of whether, in your opinion, this is contiguous in spirit, if not as defined.
- A. No. In fact, I would go back to, I think it was like page four of my memo, so the top part. Maybe the prior page. Yeah, page three. The top, please.

So something I always look for are

definitions, and I looked for the definition in Radnor code for density modification development.

One did not exist.

So what I am leaning on is when you turn to the whole chapter and the conditions and the requirement of the density modification development, it has an objective, an interpretation section in the zoning code, or in the conditional use code, which is also the zoning code, in the overall land use code.

And I don't think it's an accident that this conditional use around the open space was so specific.

It really like shows here in the objectives and interpretation part of this conditional use, and I'm going to read this one, too, from the code.

It is the intent of this article to provide for modification of lot area, yard, and use requirements in certain zoning districts in connection with single family dwelling development for the purposes of accomplishing the following objectives.

One: To encourage conservation and

use of open space in new residential development.

Two: To encourage land development which preserves trees and natural topography, prevent soil erosion, and promotes the best interests of the township from an aesthetic, ecological, and natural resource standpoint.

And three: To encourage attractive arrangements of dwellings by permitting the design and layout of dwellings to be closely related to the physical characteristics of the site in harmony with surrounding tracts.

So just being that this is number, you know, like number one in the objective interpretation, which is like the description of talking about this modification development, you know, to answer your question about the contiguous use, you know, they sometimes say things are open for interpretation.

I think this kind of speaks in that there is a pretty clear objective here that this open space, these open space conditions and making it programmable and activated and usable was very much contemplated, and to see it break up that way seems to be hurting the integrity of

1 what the code was aiming to do.

- Q. And broken up, when you say broken up that way?
- A. Broken up, designed in a way where the reality is a lot of the sections are probably going to be really not usable based on how it is designed out.

And then I didn't get to it yet, but it's probably redundant to everything I've kind of said at this point, but there's two more conditions around open space and the conditional use requirements, and that is:

Consideration shall be given to the arrangement and location of common open space to take advantage of physical characteristics of the site and to place common open space within easy access and view of dwelling units, at the same time preserving and enhancing natural features.

Areas set aside for common open space shall contain no structure other than a structure related to outdoor recreational use. And then the last one:

Common open space, within the meaning of this article, shall consist of a parcel or

parcels of land or an area of water, or a combination of land and water, within a development site, designed and intended for the use or enjoyment of residents of the development or the public, not including streets, off-street parking areas, and areas set aside for public facilities.

Q. Can we just go back to the first one? You read that the open space is to take advantage of the physical characteristics of the site and to place common open space within easy access and view of dwelling units.

Do the dwelling units, going back to your Appendix B, do all of the dwelling units have a view of the open space?

A. I would say not all of them do. So there's some across Drive Aisle A, and I think some of these dwelling units are probably blocked.

I can't read the numbers. It looks like five and six, for example, and 35, 34, so some of the interior units of some of the dwellings across the street seem to just face the other buildings, and so that would be probably a

violation of that condition as well, yes.

Q. And regarding easy access, you may have read the testimony, but there will be no sidewalk on the inner loop of what they're calling Drive A and Drive B, what the applicant's calling Drive A and Drive B.

Would that make it more easily accessible if there was a sidewalk on that side?

- A. Oh, very much so.
- Q. It talks about, in the first section that you read, it talks about any structures put into this open space must be related to outdoor recreational use.

Is there any outdoor recreational use structures proposed here?

- A. So this plan doesn't show that, and I believe a circular path is all that's given, which I wouldn't call that a structure. So from what I've reviewed, no.
- Q. Is there any concern that you have if, down the line, there would be a pergola put in or a gazebo, playground equipment?
- A. So I guess going back to the stormwater question, which is kind of a question

right now, is it really all shown on the plan, you know. They need to probably show how it is on the plan.

It could very well not be in this area, but I don't know if we have that information right now, and that would impede to put in, of course, to have that underneath.

But to answer your question about, you know, pergolas and benches and structures like that, you know, it's not in the design that's shown to me right now, so I haven't really, you know, looked at it that way, because it's just simply not even proposed at this point.

Q. You mentioned a few minutes ago some of this open space is very close, runs right, abuts some of the townhomes, 21 through 24, 25 through 28, and 29 through 32.

Playground equipment put, let's say, within the hash marks of E, behind townhome 21, would you have concerns about that?

A. So, yes. Like we would have to kind of like think of it like accessory structures and how they get regulated with setbacks, and I see often accessory structures need to be set back

from certain buildings.

So we are playing a regulation game in that, you know, how far back is the appropriate suitable for certain types of accessory structures like playgrounds, especially when you have backyards and side yards and houses right there.

Q. Ms. Speyer, if kids were playing outdoors right next to someone's home, townhome in the backyard, which there are no backyards shown on this plan, but the back of the house, you mentioned a few minutes ago about noise and people running around in close proximity to people's houses.

Would that be a concern as well, just from a living perspective?

- A. It would be annoying.
- Q. Right.
- A. Yeah, because I think what you have is like a site plan, and then you're adding stuff later that isn't even contemplated in the site plan at the moment.

So like can I say I'm concerned? I don't know if the land use board or the board of

commissioners could be concerned, because it's just not even on this plan, and it would be awkward to put certain structures within these yards against these houses.

- Q. In reviewing the applicant's plan as presented with their conditional use application, what, if any, zoning variances need further clarification?
- A. Right. So I was saying there's a whole conditional use chapter. So then there's also just the general zoning code and complying with the zoning code.

Based on my review, I see two requirements that I think more information is needed, whether that's showing it on the plans or clarifying it in testimony with plan details perhaps.

The first one is around parking. The zoning requirement here is that two spaces per dwelling unit is required, so that would be 76 spaces in total.

What is proposed now are these five spaces here, and then --

Q. You're pointing down towards the

Norma Gerrity Reporting Service

bottom right of the open space between B and A on our Exhibit T-2; correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. The appendix page?
- A. Correct. So these five spaces here, and I believe the garages were what were proposed to be parking.

Where I have questions or what I would say is open-ended or to be confirmed is what's required in a parking space is that they need to be nine and a half by 20 feet by the ordinance.

I haven't seen any exhibit with floor plans showing the garage spaces, how big these garages are.

And then when you're not putting them in, you know, a nine-and-a-half, 20-foot space on a parking lot and you're putting them in a garage, then you need to start thinking about the other stuff that's in the garage, your trash and your bikes.

And so maybe the requirement is nine and a half by 20 feet, but then, okay, if you're going to put it in the garage, do you have ample space for, you know, simple home things that

typically go in garages, especially things like trash, things like a clear path in and out of garages. It's very common to open the garage and you just need to get in and out.

So I think a floor plan that's a little bit more accurate with square footages and dimensions can clarify that better, but as of now, it's unclear.

And I know that some of the driveways here are a little undersized, so the requirement is 20 feet.

you know, some of them are right at 20 feet, so does that mean that they kind of, you know, literally like the space, no one's going to park like touching their garage door, so they're going to need to kind of be back a foot from the garage door so that they start overhanging into the sidewalks in those scenarios.

So I think a little clarity around that and site plan around that is important to know. Then the other one is loading here.

A loading space is pretty, this one is pretty objective and simple. 5,000 square feet

or more requires a loading space. I don't see one labeled here.

You know, Amazon is a thing, but also not just Amazon, like moving in and moving out and things to consider about that.

And also, just kind of jumping back to the parking and then coming back to the loading, is if you start having parties and parking taking up these drive aisles, where does the Amazon loading person park, you know?

If he's starting to get blocked because people are parked in this driveway because there's a need for parking. So just general questions around those zoning requirements.

- Q. And those questions regarding parking, regarding loading, and kind of the interplay between the two potentially, does that bring up health, safety, and welfare concerns?
- A. I would say absolutely, yes. Traffic is usually always the biggest public health, safety question that seems to come up a lot, but amongst other things, but yes.

MR. MARLIER: Can we just go off the

1	record, Mr. Rice, for a moment? Mr. Rice,
2	if we could just go off the record for just
3	a moment?
4	MR. RICE: Sure.
5	
6	(Discussion off the record.)
7	
8	MR. RICE: The board and the parties
9	went off the record to discuss future
10	dates.
11	We have three dates for future
12	hearings, all at 6:30 in this location:
13	September 17th, October 1st, and October
14	29th.
15	MR. MARLIER: All at 6:30, Mr. Rice?
16	MR. RICE: 6:30, same time, same
17	place, and there will be no further
18	advertisement. The dates will be posted on
19	the township's website.
20	If anybody has any questions between
21	now and the next meeting, feel free to
22	reach out to either me or Peggy Hagan.
23	MR. BROSEMAN: 6:30 p.m.?
24	THE PRESIDENT: 6:30 p.m.

1	Can I have a motion to adjourn?
2	MS. MULRONEY: So moved.
3	MS. AGNEW: Second.
4	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. All in
5	favor?
6	
7	(The Board unanimously responds aye.)
8	
9	THE PRESIDENT: We're adjourned.
10	
11	(Proceedings concluded at 9:09 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
4	
5	
6	I, Norma Gerrity, a Professional Court
7	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
8	record is a true and accurate transcript of my
9	stenographic notes in the above-captioned matter.
10	
11	
12	
13	Norma Gerrity Professional Court Reporter
14	riolessional Coult Reporter
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

\$	3	Α	added [1] - 75:23	Amber [1] - 26:2
<u> </u>			adding [1] - 101:20	American [3] - 18:23,
\$13,000 [1] - 32:16	20 (1) E0.40 02.0	Ale (c) 00,00 00,6	addition [1] - 15:18	57:2, 57:4
\$578,000 [1] - 32:17	30 [4] - 58:19, 93:2, 93:5, 93:16	A's [3] - 88:22, 92:6, 92:13	address [1] - 48:4	amount [4] - 11:5,
ψ 370,000 [1] - 32.17	30,000 [1] - 33:1	A-12 [5] - 83:8, 84:4,	adds [1] - 33:4	33:4, 42:19, 43:2
0	30th [2] - 4:15, 42:6	84:14, 84:16, 85:18	adjacent [5] - 89:16,	ample [1] - 103:23 analysis [18] - 7:12,
<u> </u>	32 [2] - 89:2, 100:17	A-27 [7] - 3:3, 47:9,	91:1, 92:7, 92:14, 92:15	7:22, 8:17, 9:17,
	34 [1] - 98:21	47:15, 49:13, 49:15,	adjourn [1] - 107:1	10:14, 11:10, 14:3,
0.0769 [1] - 10:7	35 [3] - 79:3, 79:14,	50:7, 50:10	adjourned [1] - 107:9	14:21, 15:17, 15:21,
0.6 [1] - 17:16	98:21	A-28 [8] - 3:4, 7:14,	adjudication [6] -	16:12, 18:2, 18:8,
0769 [1] - 9:15	38 [3] - 9:19, 17:5,	7:16, 7:20, 16:8,	45:15, 45:18, 46:23,	23:21, 25:14, 25:19,
_	22:8	17:3, 20:7, 23:15	47:13, 47:21, 48:19	45:21, 71:9
1	39 [6] - 9:9, 35:15,	A-29 [8] - 3:6, 11:13,	adjusted [1] - 20:21	analyzes [1] - 15:15
	35:23, 36:10, 41:13,	16:1, 16:4, 23:15,	adjustment [1] - 8:22	analyzing [1] - 58:9
103 [1] - 17:10	41:19	23:23, 24:18, 24:19	administration [2] -	Anecdotally [1] -
11 [3] - 3:8, 38:18,		A-3 [4] - 45:14, 46:22,	56:11, 58:5	28:21
81:24	4	47:13, 48:20	admitted [1] - 51:1	animals [1] - 88:10
12 [1] - 88:6		A-30 [3] - 3:7, 11:12,	admittedly[1] - 69:9	Ann [1] - 27:6
12th [1] - 4:4	41 [2] - 22:8, 47:10	11:16	adopted [1] - 58:1	annotated [2] - 87:18,
15 [2] - 48:9, 93:15		A-4 [2] - 48:12, 48:16	advantage [2] - 97:15,	88:5
16 [1] - 3:7	5	A-7 [2] - 47:20, 48:15	98:9	annoyed [1] - 92:1
17th [1] - 106:13		A-8 [1] - 12:2 ability [2] - 77:2, 78:6	advertisement [1] -	annoying [1] - 101:17
1st [1] - 106:13			106:18	Annual [2] - 3:4, 3:6
	5,000 [1] - 104:24	able [1] - 15:20 above-captioned [1] -	advise [2] - 63:1, 65:8	annual [1] - 32:19
2	50 [1] - 3:4	108:9	advising [2] - 67:15,	answer [4] - 74:6,
	51 [5] - 3:4, 3:5, 3:7,	absolutely [1] -	67:20	94:14, 96:16, 100:8
2.72 [1] - 17:12	3:8, 3:9	105:20	advisory [1] - 65:8	anticipated [1] - 18:9
20 [4] - 103:11,	54 [1] - 3:12	Absolutely [1] - 77:15	aesthetic [1] - 96:5	apartment [1] - 36:21
103:22, 104:11,	5th [1] - 25:10	abuts [1] - 100:16	aged [19] - 8:12, 9:3,	appeal [1] - 50:1 Appendix [3] - 87:17,
104:13	C	accepted [2] - 10:13,	9:12, 9:15, 9:21, 17:14, 17:15, 17:17,	88:14, 98:14
20-foot [1] - 103:16	6	69:24	18:3, 18:9, 18:20,	appendix [1] - 103:4
200 [1] - 69:2		access [3] - 97:17,	19:2, 19:7, 31:2,	applicant [9] - 5:19,
2006 [2] - 33:8, 35:3	6 [1] - 28:2	98:11, 99:2	31:5, 31:11, 35:19,	11:10, 61:4, 70:16,
2018 [1] - 16:14	60 [5] - 3:7, 10:24,	accessible [1] - 99:8	35:22, 39:6	72:18, 78:13, 83:3,
2019 [1] - 45:23	25:9, 34:10, 39:12	accessory [3] -	AGNEW [11] - 33:7,	86:13, 94:10
2020 [5] - 45:17,	68 [1] - 42:7	100:22, 100:24,	33:20, 34:3, 37:8,	Applicant [2] - 51:3,
45:22, 46:23, 47:24,	69 [1] - 42:7	101:4	37:17, 38:1, 38:7,	51:6
48:2	6:30 [5] - 106:12,	accident [1] - 95:11	39:1, 44:4, 53:15,	APPLICANT [1] - 3:2
2022 [1] - 18:24	106:15, 106:16,	accomplishing [1] -	107:3	applicant's [4] -
2023 [1] - 25:11	106:23, 106:24	95:22	ago [4] - 21:10, 65:15,	77:23, 88:16, 99:5,
2024 [1] - 4:4	7	accordance [1] -	100:14, 101:12	102:5
21 [6] - 19:4, 47:24,	1	10:13 according [1] - 37:3	agree [2] - 5:23, 35:3	Applicant's [7] - 3:9,
88:24, 89:23, 100:16, 100:19		account [4] - 17:18,	agreement [1] - 5:13	7:16, 11:16, 16:4,
23 [2] - 17:15, 18:4	7 [2] - 3:4, 3:5	22:24, 23:2, 33:9	aiming [1] - 97:1	50:10, 83:8, 85:17
24 [2] - 32:16, 100:16	7.9 [1] - 83:4	accounted [4] - 9:12,	aisle [2] - 87:23, 88:7 Aisle [3] - 89:1, 91:1,	application [16] - 12:5, 12:19, 21:9,
25 [10] - 82:9, 82:21,	71 [1] - 3:13	33:13, 33:24, 34:1	98:17	45:16, 47:10, 48:6,
83:21, 85:13, 87:12,	76 [1] - 102:20	accounting [1] - 85:14	aisles [2] - 89:16,	59:10, 70:16, 70:24,
88:2, 88:23, 89:4,	79 [1] - 19:1	accreditations [2] -	105:9	77:9, 77:19, 77:22,
94:11, 100:16	7:42 [1] - 53:21	57:1, 69:5	Allegiance [2] - 4:6,	78:23, 79:22, 102:6
28 [4] - 40:12, 83:23,	7:54 [1] - 53:22	accurate [4] - 43:7,	4:8	Application [1] - 3:4
88:23, 100:17	0	85:14, 104:6, 108:8	allow [1] - 90:18	applications [3] -
28,000 [1] - 33:1	8	acreage [1] - 59:10	allowance [2] - 82:22,	59:4, 75:1, 77:2
280 [1] - 71:1		acting [1] - 77:9	83:5	appreciate [1] - 52:21
280-134 [1] - 76:4	8 _[1] - 48:1	activated [1] - 96:22	allowed [1] - 66:11	appropriate [7] - 15:2,
29 [2] - 40:12, 100:17		active [2] - 88:11,	allows [2] - 93:1, 93:2	76:12, 76:16, 76:18,
29th [1] - 106:14	9	93:10	almost [2] - 69:20,	86:7, 89:6, 101:3
		activities [1] - 33:18	91:17	approval [3] - 12:22,
	0.00 407.44	actual [1] - 64:4	Amazon [3] - 105:3,	68:2, 76:19
	9:09 [1] - 107:11	add [3] - 49:19, 50:14,	105:4, 105:9	approves [1] - 82:19
		66:6		April [5] - 4:15, 21:7,
	<u></u>		a	1

25:5, 26:1, 42:6 architect [3] - 62:19, 86:15, 91:9 architects [1] - 63:23 architectural [2] -79:5, 80:19 area [9] - 24:13, 52:23, 63:11, 82:9, 85:13, 91:21, 95:19, 98:1, 100:5 areas [12] - 83:12, 88:6, 88:17, 89:2, 89:8, 89:15, 92:6, 92:19, 94:3, 94:5, 98:6 Areas [1] - 97:19 argument [1] - 48:5 arrangement [1] -97:14 arrangements [1] -96:8 arrive [1] - 33:16 article [2] - 95:18, 97:24 articulation [1] - 81:3 artificially [2] - 93:22, 94:4 aside [2] - 97:19, 98:6 aspect [1] - 21:15 aspects [1] - 70:23 assessed [3] - 8:11, 17:7, 37:4 assist [1] - 15:14 associated [1] - 67:23 Association [1] - 57:5 assume [1] - 45:20 assumed [3] - 7:7, 7:23, 18:10 assuming [1] - 52:11 assumptions [2] -7:21, 16:11 attached [7] - 12:9, 13:20, 16:19, 23:11, 24:4, 28:5, 28:17 attend [4] - 17:18, 18:10, 19:3, 19:4 attended [1] - 35:20 attending [1] - 18:13 attention [1] - 15:24 attorney [1] - 61:3 attorneys [2] - 4:22, 5:9 attractive [1] - 96:7 attributes [3] - 20:17, 20:19, 24:21 August [2] - 4:4, 47:24 available [1] - 13:11 Avenue [6] - 11:2, 11:3, 25:10, 38:22, 43:22 averages [1] - 30:10 avoiding [1] - 75:11

awkward [2] - 90:8, 102:3 aye [1] - 107:7

В

bachelor's [1] - 56:21

7:21, 16:11, 55:6,

background [5] -

56:17, 56:18 backyard [3] - 90:10, 92:2, 101:10 backyards [2] - 101:6, 101:10 **ball** [1] - 91:24 based [12] - 9:10, 14:17, 17:7, 17:12, 17:15, 24:15, 48:16, 71:6, 71:9, 78:23, 87:21, 97:6 Based [1] - 102:13 basic [2] - 65:2 basis [4] - 8:22, 13:19, 41:1, 76:17 bat [1] - 54:17 **became** [1] - 57:15 become [2] - 89:8, 90:16 becomes [5] - 63:12, 91:6, 91:7, 91:10, 91:13 bed [4] - 83:15, 83:16, 84:7. 85:8 bedroom [14] - 7:1, 7:7, 7:23, 7:24, 8:3, 8:19, 16:16, 16:20, 16:22, 16:23, 16:24, 21:17, 23:10, 24:3 Bedroom [2] - 3:5, 3:6 bedrooms [18] - 7:3, 7:5, 13:21, 13:22, 16:18, 20:9, 20:12, 21:4, 21:19, 21:23, 21:24, 22:15, 22:17, 22:24, 23:3, 23:4, 23:8 beds [2] - 83:13, 84:22 begin [1] - 53:24 behalf [1] - 58:23 behind [1] - 100:19 **belie** [1] - 49:14 **Bellevue** [1] - 11:3 below [1] - 30:16 benches [2] - 86:22, 100:9 bends [1] - 89:1 benefit [2] - 93:23, 94:6 best [1] - 96:4 better [2] - 43:5, 104:7 between [11] - 24:11, 28:7, 28:8, 39:20,

47:4, 52:8, 61:16, 62:8, 103:1, 105:18, 106:20 big [5] - 43:1, 43:11, 56:14, 77:16, 103:13 bigger [4] - 43:5, 43:8, 43:11, 78:14 biggest [1] - 105:21 bikes [1] - 103:20 billboard [4] - 66:17, 66:20, 66:22, 66:24 bit [16] - 30:16, 32:11, 35:4. 56:13. 59:16. 63:8, 64:24, 65:5, 75:17, 81:3, 82:20, 84:11, 87:19, 90:20, 104:6 **black** [2] - 68:8, 73:8 black-and-white [1] -68:8 block [2] - 42:15, 42:17 blocked [2] - 98:19, 105:11 Bloomingdale [2] -38:21, 43:21 blown [1] - 64:24 Board [2] - 4:3, 107:7 board [22] - 19:21, 29:23, 53:1, 55:4, 59:5, 67:5, 71:21, 72:5, 76:1, 76:9, 76:14, 77:1, 77:6, 77:13, 78:5, 80:9, 82:18, 85:14, 101:24, 106:8 **board's** [1] - 50:16 boards [2] - 55:23, 67:16 bodies [2] - 55:21, 67:20 body [4] - 75:2, 75:9, 76:24 borne [4] - 14:12, 18:18, 28:14, 28:21 bottom [4] - 47:23, 88:22, 89:1, 103:1 **box** [2] - 65:5, 91:3 break [6] - 16:17, 23:24, 37:16, 41:14, 92:3, 96:23 **bridge** [1] - 61:16 bridging [1] - 62:6 briefly [1] - 73:22 bring [4] - 5:11, 7:14, 25:11, 105:18 brings [2] - 75:8, 77:20 **broad** [1] - 6:8 **broke** [1] - 24:3

5:17, 5:19, 6:14, 19:17, 45:4, 46:7, 48:4, 50:13, 50:17, 52:3, 52:14, 60:16, 61:3, 68:21, 69:3, 69:15 BROSEMAN [28] -5:18, 6:15, 6:23, 8:5, 11:19, 17:1, 19:13, 19:19, 19:23, 42:4, 43:24, 45:5, 45:10, 46:6, 46:9, 46:14, 46:17, 46:20, 47:17, 48:8, 50:18, 51:15, 52:20, 60:18, 61:1, 68:13, 69:18, 106:23 Broseman's [1] - 53:9 brought [5] - 15:3, 21:9, 34:10, 69:22, 75:22 Brunswick [1] - 56:8 budget [2] - 33:23, 34:1 budgeted [1] - 33:12 buffer [6] - 64:16, 66:14, 82:13, 89:18, 93:3, 93:22 buffered [1] - 66:7 buffers [2] - 90:18, 90:19 **build** [1] - 64:22 building [2] - 34:24, 64:15 buildings [3] - 80:24, 98:24. 101:1 built [1] - 66:24 bulk [5] - 63:6, 63:14, 73:15, 79:24, 80:5 **burden** [1] - 91:13 **bus** [3] - 35:19, 37:13, 37:15 business [3] - 61:9, 61:18, 62:7 business-type [1] -61:9 busing [1] - 37:12 С

calculation [1] - 20:14
calculations [4] 22:23, 23:15, 23:16,
24:18
captioned [1] - 108:9
carry [2] - 60:6, 84:23
Cas [1] - 26:20
case [14] - 5:5, 20:23,
22:20, 46:9, 50:22,
51:6, 53:6, 53:9,
54:1, 66:17, 67:12,
67:13, 69:11, 70:11
cases [2] - 14:5, 68:2

catch [1] - 91:24 category [2] - 21:1, 23:10 caused [1] - 66:11 census [1] - 9:7 Census [1] - 18:23 center [2] - 91:5, 93:13 certain [20] - 5:1, 5:4, 5:6, 5:7, 66:7, 68:1, 69:5, 70:23, 78:22, 78:24, 87:5, 87:6, 87:22, 88:17, 91:14, 94:5, 95:20, 101:1, 101:4, 102:3 certainly [2] - 12:10, 71:24 CERTIFICATE [1] -108:3 certificates [1] - 58:4 certification [1] - 57:4 Certified [1] - 57:3 certified [1] - 57:16 certify [1] - 108:7 cetera [1] - 5:8 challenge [1] - 66:1 challenging [1] - 65:7 changed [1] - 23:18 chapter [2] - 95:5, 102:10 Chapter [1] - 71:1 characteristics [6] -24:16, 38:24, 44:2, 96:10, 97:15, 98:10 characterizes [3] -13:18, 17:5, 24:6 charge [2] - 37:12, 55:15 charged [1] - 80:10 chart [4] - 7:12, 73:16, 82:4, 83:3 charts [1] - 34:11 Chawla [1] - 26:6 check [1] - 53:16 Cheryl [1] - 29:19 chief [5] - 46:10, 50:23, 51:6, 53:6, 54:1 **child** [1] - 33:3 children [24] - 8:13, 9:3, 9:12, 9:15, 9:21, 17:14, 17:15, 17:17, 18:3, 18:9, 19:2, 19:7, 31:2, 31:5, 31:12, 31:22, 32:1, 32:15, 32:20, 38:13, 39:2, 39:3, 39:17 Children [1] - 33:20 **chose** [1] - 20:12 Cindy [1] - 26:18 circles [1] - 86:19

circular [3] - 86:13,

broken [3] - 72:7, 97:2

Broken [1] - 97:4

91:8, 99:17 cities [1] - 56:12 City [3] - 56:6, 56:7 city [1] - 56:19 civil [2] - 64:20, 65:6 clarification [5] -39:10, 78:2, 78:8, 80:8, 102:8 clarifications [1] -25:16 clarified [1] - 87:8 **clarify** [5] - 34:7, 45:13, 61:11, 78:13, 104:7 clarifying [1] - 102:16 clarity [2] - 84:10, 104:20 clause [1] - 81:11 clean [1] - 50:6 clear [9] - 28:4, 52:13, 68:24. 74:2. 77:3. 84:13, 88:12, 96:20, 104:2 clearly [1] - 83:14 Clemente [1] - 26:10 CLEMENTE [1] -26:11 client [6] - 25:16, 62:9, 64:10, 65:9, 66:1, 66:22 clients [1] - 58:21 close [9] - 30:21, 36:24, 50:15, 88:11, 91:3, 92:19, 93:8, 100:15, 101:13 closed [1] - 53:13 closely [1] - 96:9 closer [1] - 88:24 cluster [1] - 30:15 code [39] - 58:9, 58:11, 58:13, 60:6, 64:19, 65:1, 66:1, 66:2, 66:14, 67:20, 67:21, 68:5, 68:7, 72:24, 73:1, 73:2, 73:4, 73:6, 73:10, 74:16, 76:1, 76:8, 76:21, 76:23, 77:8, 79:18, 82:6, 89:7, 95:2, 95:8, 95:9, 95:10, 95:17, 97:1, 102:11, 102:12 codes [9] - 55:16, 55:20, 56:15, 57:12, 57:21, 59:23, 61:23 coincidence [1] -30:13 colleague [1] - 19:23 collected [1] - 32:23 column [8] - 8:8, 8:24, 9:1, 9:18, 17:4, 17:20, 17:22, 19:6 columns [2] - 8:6,

17:2 combination [1] - 98:2 **Coming** [1] - 41:20 coming [3] - 49:13, 65:16, 105:7 comments [4] - 4:13, 12:16, 12:20, 48:16 commission [1] -73:18 Commission [1] -15:5 Commissioner [1] -29:24 commissioner [2] -32:8, 34:4 Commissioners [1] -4:3 commissioners [16] -23:23, 67:7, 67:8, 72:2, 73:23, 75:3, 75:18, 75:20, 75:21, 76:15, 77:7, 78:6, 78:19, 80:10, 82:18, 102:1 commissioners' [2] -76:2, 76:9 commissions [1] -67:24 Common [2] - 82:12, 97:23 common [12] - 17:7, 59:19, 59:22, 82:10, 82:15, 82:17, 86:6, 97:14, 97:16, 97:19, 98:11, 104:3 commonly [1] - 13:10 commonly-used [1] -13:10 Commonwealth [1] -13:14 communities [7] - 9:8, 24:6, 35:8, 36:22, 38:23, 41:2, 41:3 Community [1] -18:23 community [7] -13:13, 24:10, 24:13, 35:10, 36:6, 56:10, 75:13 company [6] - 15:13, 55:9, 61:5, 61:13, 66:21, 66:23 comparable [6] -34:16, 36:5, 38:2, 38:6, 40:13, 40:16 comparables [1] -34:9 comparative [1] -15:21

compare [1] - 73:15

compared [1] - 30:22

comparing [2] - 36:1,

comparison [1] - 16:9 77:4, 79:2, 80:7, 81:18, 95:5, 96:21, **complaint** [1] - 52:18 97:11 complete [2] - 5:3, condominium [1] -48:13 11:4 complex [1] - 36:10 **conducting** [1] - 5:24 compliance [3] - 77:4, 78:22, 79:17 configurations [2] -16:16, 16:21 complicated [1] -Configurations [1] -94:13 complying [3] - 76:15, confirm [2] - 6:2, 86:3 79:14, 102:11 confirmation [1] component [2] - 24:5, 85:7 81:8 confirmed [1] - 103:9 Comprehensive [1] -70:18 **confirming** [1] - 78:22 comprehensive [3] conflicts [1] - 5:21 70:22, 73:4, 73:20 confused [1] - 32:11 comps [2] - 34:14, connection [1] - 95:21 35:7 conservation [3] concept [3] - 63:17, 81:14, 86:9, 95:24 64:8, 65:13 conservative [3] -14:10, 14:21, 18:16 concepts [1] - 64:21 conceptual [1] - 63:4 conservatively [1] conceptually [1] -14:11 63:22 consider [2] - 14:16, 105:5 conceptuals [1] -Consideration [1] -63:16 concern [3] - 85:19, 97:13 99:20, 101:15 consideration [1] concerned [2] -79:10 101:23, 102:1 considered [4] - 24:9, concerning [2] - 13:2, 48:6, 78:3, 92:20 considering [2] -87:10 concerns [3] - 87:24, 49:12, 80:9 100:20, 105:19 consist [1] - 97:24 concluded [1] consistency [2] -107:11 72:20, 77:24 Concordia [1] - 10:24 consistent [1] - 23:12 concur [1] - 28:22 constraints [2] - 63:9, concurred [1] - 36:4 63:10 condition [5] - 86:5, construction [7] -86:7, 89:5, 89:6, 59:9, 84:1, 84:12, 99:1 84:17, 84:21, 85:10, Conditional [1] - 3:8 87:4 conditional [46] - 4:3, **contain** [1] - 97:20 5:2. 12:19. 12:22. contemplated [2] -21:9, 25:9, 47:20, 96:23, 101:21 47:21, 55:17, 58:10, contemplating [1] -58:12, 59:7, 60:1, 93:6 60:11, 68:10, 70:15, context [1] - 57:24 71:18, 72:14, 72:19, contiguous [6] -72:21, 73:1, 73:24, 82:18, 89:5, 94:1, 74:12, 74:18, 75:1, 94:17, 94:18, 96:17 75:5, 75:23, 76:12, **continuing** [1] - 58:4 77:4, 77:11, 78:1, conversation [2] -78:4, 78:10, 78:22, 44:20, 44:23 79:18, 80:6, 80:11, copies [3] - 19:18, 81:6, 81:9, 82:3, 54:20, 71:21 95:9, 95:12, 95:16, copy [1] - 16:1 97:11, 102:6, 102:10 corner [3] - 11:2, **conditions** [14] - 60:4, 47:24, 89:21

10:5, 10:7, 11:8, 18:10, 18:12, 20:9, 21:10, 21:13, 22:2, 22:14, 22:24, 23:3, 23:19, 30:7, 30:10, 30:17, 31:10, 32:2, 32:6, 39:24, 40:21, 41:24, 45:17, 62:24, 69:5, 69:11, 83:23, 86:1, 88:19, 89:23, 92:9, 92:11, 92:21, 103:2 Correct [21] - 12:15, 20:10, 21:11, 22:18, 23:1, 23:4, 30:11, 30:18, 31:8, 31:13, 31:19, 41:8, 41:21, 69:12, 83:24, 88:20, 89:24, 92:17, 92:22, 103:3, 103:5 cost [6] - 8:22, 14:3, 19:8, 33:4, 33:9, 34:2 cost-per-student [1] -34:2 costs [4] - 9:24, 32:20, 32:21. 33:1 council [1] - 75:2 councils [1] - 55:22 **count** [3] - 93:4, 94:5, 94:10 counted [1] - 93:9 counting [2] - 89:3, 89:9 County [1] - 37:3 couple [8] - 4:12, 22:11, 44:9, 47:18, 51:14, 58:3, 68:21, 78:1 coupled [1] - 90:22 course [1] - 100:7 courses [1] - 58:5 Court [6] - 38:21, 43:21, 44:5, 53:17, 108:6, 108:13 court [2] - 49:5, 55:22 coverage [2] - 80:3, 90:19 coverages [1] - 60:3 create [1] - 85:23 created [3] - 15:14, 91:13, 91:18 **CROSS** [1] - 60:22 **CU** [1] - 3:4 **Curley** [1] - 26:12 **CURLEY** [1] - 26:13 current [3] - 12:4, 14:18, 15:22 CV [2] - 3:12, 54:18

60:5, 65:3, 66:6,

correct [35] - 10:4,

D dark [1] - 83:10 data [9] - 12:18, 13:7, 13:10, 14:18, 18:24, 28:15, 28:21, 35:3, 36:2 date [3] - 25:6, 47:15, 48:1 dated [1] - 47:23 dates [4] - 13:2, 106:10, 106:11, 106:18 David [1] - 29:17 dead [2] - 90:17 deal [1] - 55:13 **December** [2] - 25:10, 48:1 deduction [1] - 18:12 deemed [1] - 76:17 deeper [1] - 77:21 defined [1] - 94:19 definition [2] - 79:13, 95:1 definitions [1] - 95:1 degree [1] - 67:18 Delaware [2] - 15:4, 37:3 demographic [1] -12:7 demonstrate [2] -30:20, 90:5 demonstration [1] -90:3 denial [1] - 76:17 dense [1] - 72:9 densities [1] - 68:11 density [11] - 59:9, 73:11, 74:10, 74:15, 74:18, 75:4, 80:23, 81:10, 81:12, 95:2, Department [1] - 9:6 department [9] - 37:8, 37:11, 37:22, 38:4, 38:11, 39:7, 44:16 depicted [1] - 91:8 depicts [2] - 86:16, 86:17 derivation [2] - 21:6, 24:21 derive [1] - 24:7 derived [3] - 9:4, 13:12, 17:24 describe [2] - 55:5, 86:17 described [1] - 77:8 describes [2] - 8:8, 74:17 description [1] - 96:14 design [6] - 60:3,

68:22, 79:1, 80:14,

96:9, 100:10 director [2] - 41:23, designated [1] - 82:9 44:17 designed [4] - 91:19, discovered [1] - 9:9 97:4, 97:7, 98:3 discovery [1] - 52:5 designing [1] - 94:2 discretion [1] - 76:14 detached [7] - 13:20, discuss [1] - 106:9 20:17, 22:4, 28:9, 28:18, 28:20, 36:21 detail [1] - 39:19 details [11] - 80:19, 83:18, 84:6, 84:9, 84:12, 84:18, 84:22, 85:9, 85:10, 87:4, 102:16 developable [1] -63:12 developed [5] - 15:12, 24:10, 24:12, 40:22, 40:24 **developer** [1] - 62:10 development [40] -8:9, 10:15, 10:20, 11:1, 11:5, 13:23, 15:14, 17:5, 17:11, 24:8, 30:20, 30:24, 31:6, 34:17, 56:11, 56:14, 59:8, 62:15, 72:14, 73:11, 74:15, 74:19, 74:20, 75:5, 80:24, 81:10, 81:12, 81:15, 82:4, 82:10, 88:17, 88:18, 95:2, 95:7, 95:22, 96:1, 96:2, 96:15, 98:3, 98:4 developments [1] -38:17 deviations [1] - 68:11 difference [4] - 28:7, 28:10, 28:13 differences [3] -39:13, 39:17, 47:4 different [8] - 12:10, 24:8, 24:14, 34:16, 53:11, 67:17, 84:20 difficult [1] - 65:7 diligence [1] - 63:5 dimension [4] - 80:1, 80:5, 80:17, 93:14 dimensional [3] -60:2, 73:16, 79:24 dimensions [1] -104:7 diminished [1] - 8:20 **Dire** [3] - 55:1, 60:22, 68:18 dire [1] - 69:8 direct [2] - 37:20, 37:21 **DIRECT** [2] - 55:1, 70:7

direction [1] - 53:12

directly [1] - 79:17

discussion [6] - 7:1, 25:14, 25:18, 78:5, 78:15, 82:6 **Discussion** [1] - 106:6 discussions [2] -52:7, 71:4 disproportionate [1] -30:22 distance [1] - 87:22 distinctions [1] -39:20 distinguishes [1] -56:24 distribute [1] - 71:22 district [19] - 8:15. 8:22, 9:13, 9:23, 10:3, 19:9, 19:10, 32:13, 33:2, 33:11, 33:16, 33:21, 34:1, 35:17, 36:1, 37:9, 41:7, 41:11, 74:9 **District** [4] - 9:6, 14:14, 18:1, 25:2 districts [1] - 95:20 divided [1] - 33:15 document [1] - 71:20 documents [2] -60:10, 71:6 dog [1] - 90:11 done [10] - 18:15, 22:12, 45:21, 58:19, 58:22, 63:4, 63:17, 65:13, 67:11, 69:8 door [2] - 104:16, 104:18 down [15] - 10:6, 23:24, 24:3, 37:16, 39:18, 42:12, 50:1, 72:7, 76:5, 77:21, 81:11, 84:23, 92:3, 99:21, 102:24 dozen [2] - 31:10, 31:11 dozens [1] - 22:12 Dr [1] - 64:9 drafted [2] - 66:2, 71:8 draw [1] - 66:14 drawing [1] - 15:24 drawings [1] - 84:1 drill [1] - 77:21 drilling [1] - 42:12 drive [5] - 87:23, 88:7, 89:16, 92:8, 105:9 Drive [10] - 89:1, 89:21, 91:1, 92:15, 98:17, 99:5, 99:6

driveway [2] - 88:11, 105:12 driveways [3] - 44:3, 104:9, 104:12 driving [1] - 14:2 dual [2] - 56:22 due [1] - 63:5 duly [2] - 6:18, 54:10 during [1] - 69:7 **DVRPC** [10] - 15:3, 15:9, 15:12, 16:13, 17:9, 17:12, 17:23, 23:22, 24:13, 25:11 dwelling [7] - 95:21, 97:17, 98:12, 98:13, 98:14, 98:18, 102:20 dwellings [3] - 96:8, 96:9, 98:23

Ε e-mail [3] - 44:21, 45:1, 71:24 e-mailed [1] - 44:24 e-mailing [1] - 20:1 early [1] - 65:1 easily [1] - 99:7 easy [5] - 74:8, 80:4, 97:16, 98:11, 99:2 Ecode.com [1] - 59:20 **ecological** [1] - 96:6 economic [1] - 15:13 Econsult [4] - 15:12, 16:14, 17:23, 18:5 ed [2] - 33:9, 33:17 educate [1] - 33:3 educated [1] - 33:20 **education** [1] - 58:5 educational [2] - 55:5, 56:18 eight [6] - 38:19, 38:20, 43:19, 43:20, 44:5, 53:16 either [6] - 20:22, 43:8, 78:2, 92:7, 92:13, 106:22 element [1] - 80:15 **elevations** [1] - 79:9 Eleven [1] - 43:18 **Elmsford** [1] - 64:9 **employee** [1] - 56:5 encourage [3] - 95:24, 96:2, 96:7 encouraging [1] -81:14 end [2] - 49:6, 50:22 ended [2] - 79:16, 103:9 engineer [2] - 62:20, 63:14 engineering [1] -

enhancing [1] - 97:18 enjoyment [1] - 98:4 enrolled [1] - 19:3 ensure [2] - 76:15, 84:18 ensuring [1] - 87:2 entire [6] - 24:13, 42:16, 42:20, 42:22, 49:12, 71:21 environmental [1] -63:10 equipment [4] - 86:24, 91:14, 99:22, 100:18 ERIK [1] - 6:17 Erik [2] - 6:24, 19:14 erosion [1] - 96:4 escapes [1] - 44:18 especially [4] - 68:10, 88:10, 101:5, 104:1 essence [1] - 90:16 essentially [3] - 48:18, 63:21, 80:1 estimate [3] - 14:11, 30:19, 35:21 estimates [2] - 14:1, 19:1 **estimation** [1] - 37:2 et [1] - 5:8 evaluating [2] - 67:19, 77:9 evening [7] - 5:18, 23:14, 58:7, 60:8, 61:2, 70:14, 77:20 Evidence [1] - 3:9 **EVIDENCE** [1] - 54:7 **evidence** [1] - 51:4 evolved [1] - 70:17 evolves [1] - 63:13 exact [3] - 66:18, 84:2, 93:14 exactly [4] - 81:17, 86:14, 89:7, 93:13 **EXAMINATION** [11] -6:21, 20:4, 27:24, 30:2, 40:7, 44:12, 45:8, 55:1, 60:22, 68:18, 70:7 **examined** [2] - 6:18, 54:10 **example** [2] - 79:1, 98:21 except [2] - 7:22, 16:12 exception [1] - 74:12 excused [1] - 46:12 exhibit [12] - 10:6, 11:11, 11:20, 42:3, 42:5, 46:14, 84:5, 86:12, 86:14, 87:14, 87:16, 103:12

Exhibit [28] - 3:4, 3:7,

7:14, 7:16, 7:19,

64:20

11:12, 11:16, 12:2, 15:24, 16:4, 16:8, 23:23, 24:19, 46:22, 47:9, 47:13, 47:20, 48:12, 48:20, 50:10, 54:22, 71:13, 83:8, 84:16, 85:17, 88:6, 88:13, 103:2 exhibits [10] - 11:21, 19:18, 23:16, 48:24, 49:3, 49:23, 50:20, 51:1, 70:11, 70:17 **EXHIBITS** [1] - 3:1 **Exhibits** [2] - 3:9, 51:3 exist [2] - 36:18, 95:3 existence [1] - 57:9 existing [3] - 35:7, 35:9, 64:12 exists [1] - 76:13 expand [1] - 63:18 **expansion** [1] - 64:11 expansive [1] - 80:21 expect [1] - 30:23 expenditures [2] -33:11, 33:15 **expensive** [1] - 64:23 experience [3] -18:18, 69:4, 69:21 expert [7] - 58:6, 58:16, 59:13, 60:14, 67:3, 69:17, 69:24 expertise [4] - 37:23, 52:24, 57:17, 58:14 explain [7] - 8:6, 8:24, 13:5, 17:2, 72:4, 72:6, 73:23 explained [1] - 76:11 **explains** [1] - 73:3 extending [1] - 93:21 extent [1] - 24:20 extra [1] - 18:15 extraordinary [1] -33:22

F

facade [1] - 80:17 face [2] - 94:8, 98:23 facilities [5] - 85:17, 85:18, 85:22, 85:24, 98:7 fact [5] - 22:19, 22:20, 28:10, 53:16, 94:20 factor [4] - 14:2, 20:8, 22:16, 23:20 factors [5] - 20:11, 20:13, 21:3, 24:2, 24:17 fair [5] - 14:22, 14:23, 45:20, 79:15, 92:4 fairly [2] - 13:9, 49:20 falls [1] - 32:1 families [2] - 28:19, 31:24 family [12] - 12:9, 13:19, 13:20, 16:19, 20:16, 22:4, 23:11, 24:4, 28:5, 28:9, 36:21, 95:21 far [3] - 35:11, 79:3, 101:3 favor [1] - 107:5 features [2] - 86:22, 97:18 feet [14] - 64:15, 79:3, 79:14, 80:17, 93:2, 93:5, 93:14, 93:15, 93:17, 103:11, 103:22, 104:11, 104:13, 104:24 felt [2] - 36:5, 43:15 few [6] - 21:10, 25:24, 39:2, 39:3, 100:14, 101:12 **finally** [1] - 73:19 finance [1] - 61:14 finances [1] - 61:15 findings [2] - 66:9, 73:20 firm [3] - 55:10, 55:13, 66:13 first [10] - 11:6, 13:9, 47:19, 54:10, 75:16, 82:7, 93:5, 98:8,

82:7, 93:5, 98:8, 99:10, 102:18

Fiscal [2] - 3:4, 3:6

fiscal [21] - 7:3, 7:20, 8:14, 8:15, 8:20, 9:24, 10:11, 10:14, 11:24, 12:4, 12:17, 14:1, 19:9, 19:11, 25:14, 25:19, 32:13, 32:19, 34:12, 35:11,

32:19, 34:12, 35:11, 45:21 five [12] - 13:22, 18:24, 19:7, 23:3, 23:7, 23:10, 34:23, 49:23, 51:11, 98:21, 102:22, 103:5 five-bedroom [1] -

23:10 five-plus [1] - 34:23 five-year [1] - 18:24 fixture [1] - 62:2 floodplain [1] - 82:13 floor [6] - 11:6, 79:10,

80:15, 103:12, 104:5

floor-to-floor[1] -

79:10 focus [2] - 31:1, 58:8 follow [5] - 40:3, 45:5, 68:15, 73:9, 77:7 followed [1] - 32:4

followed [1] - 32:4 **following** [3] - 40:9, 75:10, 95:23 follows [3] - 6:19, 53:22, 54:11 foot [3] - 64:16, 86:19, 104:17 footage [3] - 23:17, 23:20, 94:9 footages [1] - 104:6 footings [1] - 87:5 foregoing [1] - 47:20 Forrest [1] - 28:2 four [18] - 7:5, 7:7, 7:23, 8:3, 8:19, 13:22, 16:22, 19:7,

7:23, 8:3, 8:19, 13:22, 16:22, 19:7, 21:19, 21:23, 23:4, 49:22, 85:21, 86:19, 92:5, 92:6, 92:13, 94:21

Four [1] - 3:5 four-bedroom [4] -7:7, 7:23, 8:3, 8:19 Four-Bedroom [1] -3:5

four-foot [1] - 86:19 free [1] - 106:21 front [5] - 5:9, 35:16, 47:16, 67:5, 67:7 full [2] - 48:11, 64:24 full-blown [1] - 64:24 funding [1] - 56:15 future [2] - 106:9, 106:11

G

Gaeto [1] - 26:14 game [1] - 101:2 gap [1] - 61:16 garage [7] - 103:13, 103:18, 103:19, 103:23, 104:3, 104:16, 104:17 garages [4] - 103:6, 103:14, 104:1, 104:3 gazebo [1] - 99:22 general [7] - 58:11, 77:20, 77:22, 79:7, 80:5, 102:11, 105:14 generally [1] - 10:13 generally-accepted [1] - 10:13 generated [1] - 36:19 generates [2] - 91:15, 91:16 geographic [5] - 24:5, 24:7, 24:15, 57:22 George [4] - 5:19, 38:14, 40:15, 61:3 Gerrity [2] - 108:6, 108:13 GIS [1] - 57:23 given [4] - 15:1, 30:12,

97:13, 99:17 GOLDA [2] - 54:9, 54:14 Golda [5] - 3:12, 3:12, 54:5, 54:13, 60:14 **GP** [2] - 3:8, 25:10 graduate [1] - 56:20 grant [1] - 76:18 granted [1] - 12:22 great [2] - 81:20, 90:18 green [1] - 83:10 Greg [1] - 29:11 Gregory [1] - 28:2 grounds [1] - 86:8 group [1] - 75:20 grouping [1] - 36:11 growing [1] - 24:12 guarantee [1] - 5:7 guess [4] - 13:3, 54:19, 87:15, 99:23 guidance [3] - 67:23, 72:10, 73:16

Н

Hagan [2] - 72:1, 106:22 half [4] - 31:9, 103:11, 103:16, 103:22 Half [1] - 31:11 Hamilton [1] - 3:3 Hamilton-1 [6] - 21:8, 45:12, 46:24, 48:7, 49:1, 50:14 hand [3] - 9:18, 19:6, 47:23 handle [1] - 49:3 hanging [3] - 78:12, 80:13, 90:11 Hansen [1] - 26:18 HANSEN [1] - 26:19 happy [1] - 94:14 hard [2] - 57:18, 78:21 harmony [1] - 96:11 hash [1] - 100:19 hashed [4] - 83:20, 83:22, 85:20, 92:19 hatched [2] - 90:1, 90:4 head [3] - 30:14, 36:8, 66:19 heads [1] - 94:15 health [4] - 77:12, 77:16, 105:19, 105:21 hear [4] - 25:18. 34:13, 78:7 heard [2] - 42:13, 51:20

Hearing [1] - 3:8

hearing [18] - 4:4,

4:14, 4:21, 5:12, 5:24, 6:24, 11:8, 11:22, 13:1, 21:7, 23:6, 23:24, 24:24, 25:9, 25:13, 50:8, 60:11, 71:18 hearings [4] - 5:3, 20:1, 25:17, 106:12 height [7] - 79:1, 79:9, 79:13, 79:21, 80:3, 80:4, 80:6 heightened [1] - 75:7 heights [2] - 68:12, 79:10 held [1] - 25:10 help[1] - 47:17 helpful [1] - 33:6 helps [2] - 74:22, 81:16 hence [1] - 9:23 hereby [1] - 108:7 Hetzel [4] - 4:15, 6:13, 20:7, 42:9 **HETZEL** [1] - 6:17 high [4] - 14:11, 55:11, 62:5, 72:17 high-level [3] - 55:11, 62:5, 72:17 higher [5] - 9:24, 19:9, 19:10, 20:24, 85:24 highest [1] - 21:1 highlights [1] - 83:12 hit [2] - 30:13, 75:13 hold [1] - 40:4 Holloway [1] - 26:20 HOLLOWAY [1] -26:21 home [5] - 20:18,

103:24
homes [8] - 21:13,
21:14, 23:11, 23:18,
24:4, 28:9, 36:17,
38:1
hours [1] - 5:8
house [4] - 90:7,
90:13, 90:15, 101:11
houses [4] - 87:24,
101:6, 101:14, 102:4
housing [4] - 15:16,
15:18, 15:19, 41:4
hundred [1] - 18:19
hurting [1] - 96:24
Hymel [1] - 26:22

21:16, 31:10, 101:9,

ī

l's [1] - 61:22 idea [2] - 50:4, 53:9 identical [1] - 12:12 identification [6] -7:17, 11:17, 16:5,

50:11, 54:23, 71:14 identify [1] - 43:13 illustrative [2] - 63:24, 90:3 impact [18] - 7:3, 7:6, 8:14, 8:15, 8:21, 9:24, 10:11, 10:14, 11:24, 12:4, 12:17, 19:5, 19:9, 19:11, 32:14, 32:19, 34:12, 35:11 impacted [1] - 8:1 Impacts [2] - 3:5, 3:6 impacts [5] - 14:1, 15:16, 30:22, 67:22, 75:12 **impede** [1] - 100:6 implemented [1] -68:1 important [3] - 70:23, 73:17, 104:21 **imposition** [1] - 76:17 **impossible** [1] - 31:18 incidentally [1] - 23:9 include [2] - 15:17, 82:12 includes [1] - 33:17 including [2] - 55:22, 98:5 inconsistency [1] -72:20 incorporate [2] -48:23, 49:24 incorporating [1] -49:11 increase [1] - 46:2 indicating [1] - 88:17 individual [1] - 36:16 infiltration [6] - 83:13, 83:15, 83:16, 84:7, 84:22, 85:8 inflation [1] - 20:22 info [1] - 52:1 information [13] -9:16, 12:13, 13:3, 14:13, 41:9, 49:15, 51:22, 57:22, 78:20, 86:3, 87:3, 100:6, 102:14 infrastructure [3] -82:23, 83:1, 85:11 inner [1] - 99:4 instead [2] - 7:23, 37:18 **Institute** [1] - 57:2 integrity [1] - 96:24 intended [2] - 72:8, 98:3 intense [1] - 81:13 intensification [1] -

75:17

intent [10] - 67:21,

68:9, 73:4, 73:16,

82:6, 87:10, 95:18 interests [1] - 96:5 **interfering** [1] - 87:5 interior [1] - 98:22 internal [1] - 44:3 interplay [1] - 105:17 interpretation [4] -95:8, 95:15, 96:14, 96:18 introduce [1] - 46:15 involved [2] - 4:22, 21:15 issue [3] - 31:3, 47:6, 49:7 italics [1] - 76:7 item [1] - 79:17 items [1] - 78:2

76:24, 77:16, 81:9,

J

itself [4] - 30:21, 76:8,

79:22, 86:20

jammed [1] - 76:20 Jardin [2] - 38:20, 43:20 Jenkintown [1] -65:20 Jersey [6] - 56:6, 56:7, 57:7, 59:18, 67:15, 69:9 join [1] - 4:5 judge [1] - 50:4 judicata [2] - 47:6, 48:5 July [1] - 5:22 jumping [1] - 105:6

Κ

Kaitlin [1] - 29:7 keep [1] - 50:6 keeping [1] - 72:9 kids [11] - 35:11, 35:14, 35:20, 35:22, 39:6, 41:16, 41:17, 88:10, 91:24, 92:2, 101:8 kind [48] - 20:19, 50:1, 56:24, 58:6, 58:21, 59:22, 61:12, 61:17, 61:24, 62:4, 63:7, 63:8, 64:18, 64:22, 65:4, 66:14, 68:3, 70:19, 71:4, 72:9, 76:20, 77:15, 80:13, 81:7, 83:17, 85:6, 86:19, 86:22, 86:23, 87:1, 87:15, 87:20, 88:22, 91:4, 91:5, 91:11, 91:13, 93:23,

94:4, 94:13, 96:19, 97:9, 99:24, 100:21, 104:14, 104:17, 105:6, 105:17 knowledge [3] - 25:8, 59:15, 59:17 knows [2] - 52:5, 53:12

90:6

letter [1] - 91:5

lettered [1] - 88:16

letters [2] - 88:16,

L

labeled [3] - 88:4,

Lafarge [1] - 27:2

Lambert [1] - 44:1

Land [1] - 62:23

land [27] - 10:15,

Lancaster [1] - 11:2

10:20, 14:5, 15:15,

51:21, 52:15, 55:9,

56:15, 58:2, 59:15,

59:17, 60:15, 63:6,

63:9, 63:22, 65:3,

69:17, 69:24, 86:6,

95:10, 96:2, 98:1,

55:11, 55:12, 56:13,

92:18, 105:2

lack [1] - 86:21

98:2, 101:24 landscape [2] - 86:15, 91:9 Lane [1] - 28:3 language [2] - 73:5, large [2] - 32:3, 49:20 largely [1] - 55:23 larger [2] - 28:19, 81:8 Larkin [1] - 29:24 **LARKIN** [8] - 30:4, 30:12, 31:1, 31:9, 31:13, 31:16, 31:20, 32:7 laser [1] - 83:20 last [16] - 4:14, 6:24, 10:2, 10:10, 13:1, 15:2, 18:7, 21:7, 23:5, 23:13, 23:24, 24:24, 54:14, 57:15, 61:11, 97:22 lately [1] - 61:19 law [2] - 5:1, 5:11 layer [1] - 75:23 layout [2] - 48:2, 96:9 leaning [1] - 95:4 least [5] - 47:16, 49:22, 78:4, 80:15, 86:2 left [6] - 8:8, 17:4, 19:6, 83:21, 84:11, 89:20 left-hand [1] - 19:6 legal [1] - 66:11 less [3] - 82:8, 89:15,

92:5 level [6] - 17:7, 37:23, 39:19, 55:11, 62:5, 72:17 levels [1] - 24:8 Levy [1] - 26:2 license [3] - 57:8, 57:11, 61:12 licensed [3] - 57:6, 62:19 licensure [1] - 57:1 life [1] - 87:21 lighting [2] - 62:1, 62:2 likely [3] - 89:15, 90:6, 93:10 limited [4] - 79:4. 80:18, 86:18, 91:7 **limiting** [1] - 91:20 line [10] - 83:20, 83:22, 93:3, 93:7, 93:8, 93:12, 93:18, 93:21, 94:7, 99:21 list [11] - 9:10, 11:20, 25:23, 35:15, 40:15, 40:23, 41:6, 42:1, 44:7, 44:24, 65:11 List [1] - 3:7 listed [2] - 11:24, 12:2 literally [3] - 75:19, 89:3, 104:15 live [2] - 9:7, 35:18 lives [1] - 28:16 living [7] - 17:11, 18:3, 19:2, 28:20, 35:22, 39:3. 101:16 loading [6] - 104:22, 104:23, 105:1, 105:7, 105:10, 105:17 local [4] - 13:11, 14:18, 15:22, 18:1 located [2] - 11:1, 56:9 location [3] - 64:5, 97:14, 106:12 locations [1] - 66:7 look [15] - 15:9, 32:12, 55:16, 55:17, 57:23, 61:24, 63:8, 63:9, 65:1, 66:13, 72:22, 75:9, 89:20, 93:11, 94:24 looked [16] - 10:4, 18:22, 35:9, 35:14, 38:16, 38:18, 42:13, 44:1, 57:12, 64:12, 70:18, 71:1, 79:12,

95:1, 100:12

looking [24] - 20:7, 30:15, 34:11, 41:1, 57:12, 57:21, 63:21, 64:10, 65:3, 65:24, 67:15, 67:18, 68:4, 68:7, 68:9, 69:10, 72:24, 76:24, 77:14, 84:14, 85:16, 85:21, 104:12 Looking [1] - 68:7 looks [4] - 16:7, 72:16, 85:1, 98:20 loop [1] - 99:4 losing [1] - 94:1 love [1] - 57:9 low [2] - 78:12, 80:13 low-hanging [1] -78:12 low/medium [1] -74:10 lower [5] - 9:22, 10:1, 18:4, 32:14, 93:23 lowest [2] - 61:21, 61:22 **ludicrous** [1] - 90:20 Lutz [1] - 29:19

М

magnitude [2] - 14:1, 30:19 Mahoney [1] - 27:6 mail [3] - 44:21, 45:1, 71:24 mailed [1] - 44:24 mailing [1] - 20:1 main [1] - 87:23 maintains [1] - 37:23 major [2] - 22:16, 56:22 majority [1] - 69:8 management [3] -61:12, 61:19, 82:16 manager [1] - 57:16 manmade [1] - 83:1 mapping [3] - 57:23, 57:24, 66:8 maps [3] - 58:1, 58:2, 66:14 March [1] - 25:5 Margaret [1] - 27:10 mark [1] - 71:20 MARKED [2] - 3:2, 3:11 marked [9] - 7:16, 11:16, 16:4, 47:9, 50:10, 54:18, 54:22, 71:11, 71:13 market [3] - 8:9, 8:10, 46:2 marks [1] - 100:19

Marlier [13] - 6:4,

19:15, 44:8, 45:11, 46:21, 46:23, 47:5, 49:8, 51:8, 51:19, 52:4, 52:8, 54:3 MARLIER [31] - 6:5, 19:16, 20:6, 25:20, 40:2, 44:9, 44:14, 45:3, 48:22, 49:10, 51:10, 51:13, 52:13, 53:5, 54:4, 54:17, 55:3, 60:13, 68:15, 68:20, 69:13, 70:2, 70:5, 70:9, 71:16, 71:24, 72:3, 85:2, 85:15, 105:24, 106:15 Marlier's [1] - 54:1 Mary [1] - 27:6 master's [1] - 56:19 materials [1] - 79:4 matter [1] - 108:9 matters [1] - 52:6 maximum [2] - 79:3, 79:14 mean [10] - 36:7, 39:16, 43:6, 49:21, 61:8, 62:2, 73:7, 88:1, 89:13, 104:14 meaning [2] - 73:6, 97:23 means [4] - 68:10, 75:6, 93:4, 93:16 meant [1] - 30:18 measure [1] - 63:7 meet [1] - 82:15 meeting [1] - 106:21 Megan [1] - 29:4 members [2] - 61:22, 67:24 memo [1] - 94:21 mention [1] - 51:15 mentioned [10] - 18:2, 18:6, 28:3, 34:9, 35:14, 39:13, 46:17, 46:20, 100:14, 101:12 mess [1] - 61:14 methodology [8] -10:10, 10:19, 12:3, 12:6, 12:11, 12:17, 13:7, 15:1 metric [2] - 73:15, 93:2 metrics [1] - 67:19 microphone [1] -27:22 might [16] - 25:5, 30:23, 31:20, 31:23, 44:22, 51:21, 51:24, 73:14, 75:13, 78:3, 78:19, 83:7, 84:19, 87:20, 88:2, 88:3 mind [2] - 65:14,

65:16 minimum [1] - 80:17 minutes [5] - 51:12, 52:19, 53:19, 100:14, 101:12 misapprehension [1] misheard [1] - 34:8 missed [1] - 68:6 missing [1] - 25:24 mix [3] - 7:1, 21:17, 62:8 mixed [1] - 11:1 Mixed [1] - 64:3 mixed-use [1] - 11:1 mock [1] - 65:4 mocking [2] - 64:20, 64:21 model [2] - 35:4, 35:7 modeled [3] - 9:17, 34:22, 37:6 modeling [1] - 15:13 moderate [1] - 73:11 modification [10] -74:15, 74:19, 75:4, 80:23, 81:10, 81:12, 95:2, 95:6, 95:19, 96:15 moment [5] - 80:13, 80:19, 101:22, 106:1, 106:3 momentarily [1] - 73:2 months [1] - 4:20 mostly [1] - 58:9 motion [1] - 107:1

51:17, 54:13, 54:14, 61:2, 64:5 move [3] - 31:24, 77:18, 84:11 moved [1] - 107:2 moving [3] - 86:5, 105:4 MPC [3] - 4:24, 5:15, 75:22 6:6 Mulroney [1] - 40:10 75:12 MULRONEY [13] -34:6. 34:18. 35:2. 35:24, 36:7, 36:23, 37:7, 42:11, 42:22, 43:10, 43:16, 43:23, 107:2 multifamily [9] - 11:4, 12:8, 13:20, 15:16, 57:24 15:18, 34:21, 34:23, 59:8, 81:1 multiple [1] - 43:2 multiplier [9] - 9:4, 9:15, 9:20, 14:17, 17:12, 17:16, 17:24, 20:9, 24:1 multipliers [25] - 8:2, 8:19, 9:2, 9:23, 12:7, 12:10, 12:11, 13:4,

13:12, 13:17, 14:4,

14:16, 15:5, 15:10,

15:11, 16:13, 16:14, 16:18, 17:9, 21:2, 21:6, 24:8, 24:22, 28:8, 39:18 municipal [1] - 62:9 municipalities [3] -58:16, 58:23, 62:10 municipality [7] -59:1, 59:2, 59:3, 59:13, 59:24, 62:13, 65:18 must [3] - 80:15, 93:3, 99:12

Ν

name [6] - 44:18,

names [1] - 52:23 **national** [1] - 69:5 **nationally** [1] - 57:3 natural [3] - 96:3, 96:6, 97:18 **naturally** [1] - 61:17 nature [4] - 55:18, 56:16, 60:4, 94:17 navigate [1] - 55:20 necessarily [1] - 61:10 necessary [1] - 75:11 need [14] - 35:17, 35:18, 37:13, 37:14, 78:2, 78:8, 100:2, 100:24, 102:7, 103:10, 103:18, 104:4, 104:17, 105:13 needed [2] - 28:24, 102:15 needs [2] - 33:22, negative [2] - 67:22, neighborhood [7] -36:13, 37:1, 42:16, 42:18, 42:21, 42:23, neighborhoods [2] -36:15, 42:24 neighboring [1] neighbors [1] - 74:5 **net** [7] - 8:13, 8:14, 8:20, 19:9, 19:10, 32:13, 32:18 New [8] - 56:7, 57:7, 57:12, 59:18, 64:9, 67:14, 69:9 new [8] - 8:15, 17:8, 22:22, 31:5, 59:8, 61:19, 81:15, 96:1 newer [3] - 38:16,

38:22, 41:1 next [7] - 81:16, 84:19, 84:23, 86:5, 88:22, 101:9, 106:21 nights [1] - 49:21 nine [3] - 103:11, 103:16, 103:21 nine-and-a-half [1] -103:16 noise [3] - 91:16, 91:22, 101:12 none [1] - 43:6 nonpublic [3] - 17:19, 18:13, 19:4 Norma [2] - 108:6, 108:13 note [5] - 10:6, 16:15, 43:24, 57:7, 69:20 noted [2] - 47:19, 48:12 notes [3] - 46:21, 85:6, 108:9 nothing [1] - 31:4 Nothing [1] - 23:18 Notwithstanding [1] -14:24 nuances [3] - 24:11, 24:15, 64:14 **nuisance** [1] - 91:16 number [39] - 5:7, 7:2, 8:9, 9:22, 10:3, 10:6, 10:7, 13:21, 16:18, 17:23, 18:4, 20:9, 20:23, 21:21, 21:24, 22:14, 22:16, 30:6, 30:7, 31:21, 31:22, 32:4, 33:8, 33:15, 34:2, 35:11, 35:13, 39:17, 41:15, 41:17, 48:1, 72:5, 76:4, 83:15, 84:2, 87:11, 96:12, 96:13 numbers [10] - 15:19, 15:21, 15:23, 18:5, 30:9. 30:14. 30:15. 38:12, 42:19, 98:20

0

oath [1] - 6:13 objection [4] - 6:5, 6:7, 69:15, 69:19 objective [7] - 72:11, 73:11, 78:17, 95:7, 96:13, 96:20, 104:24 objectively [1] - 67:20 objectives [2] - 95:15, 95:23 obligated [1] - 53:3 observation [1] - 46:4 observations [1] -28:22

obviously [2] - 9:22, 59:24 occupied [3] - 39:20, 39:21, 39:23 occurring [1] - 88:3 October [2] - 106:13 **OF** [1] - 108:3 off-street [1] - 98:5 offer [1] - 72:10 offering [1] - 48:3 often [3] - 14:10, 35:5, 100:24 old [1] - 35:4 older [2] - 41:2, 41:3 once [2] - 41:10, 66:8 one [59] - 13:15, 13:17, 13:22, 20:11, 20:14, 21:17, 23:23, 30:6, 30:23, 31:23, 32:3, 32:10, 32:13, 34:13, 42:13, 42:15, 42:17, 43:1, 46:14. 48:1, 53:8, 57:6, 57:9, 59:3, 59:5, 65:12, 65:14, 65:15, 65:21, 65:22, 66:10, 72:24, 73:1, 74:8, 74:17, 75:5, 75:13, 75:18, 80:7, 80:12, 80:15, 81:5, 81:8, 81:19, 82:7, 83:16, 86:12, 86:14, 92:12, 94:14, 95:16, 96:13, 97:22, 98:8, 102:18, 104:22, 104:23, 105:2 One [4] - 57:2, 79:2, 95:3, 95:24 one's [1] - 104:15 one-third [1] - 59:3 ones [3] - 24:2, 78:12, 78:19 online [1] - 25:17 open [44] - 78:16, 79:16, 81:13, 81:15, 82:7, 82:11, 82:12, 82:15, 82:17, 83:4, 83:9, 85:23, 86:1, 86:6, 86:9, 86:17, 87:12, 88:18, 90:7, 90:20, 91:20, 92:7, 92:20, 92:24, 93:5, 93:9, 95:12, 96:1, 96:18, 96:21, 97:11, 97:14, 97:16, 97:19, 97:23, 98:9, 98:11, 98:15. 99:12. 100:15, 103:1, 103:9, 104:3 open-ended [2] -79:16, 103:9 operation [1] - 61:24

operationally [1] -

61:13 operations [5] - 55:13, 61:6, 61:9, 62:5, 62:7 opinion [3] - 13:6, 15:1, 94:18 opportunity [1] -49:18 opposed [6] - 12:9, 20:16, 22:5, 34:24, 41:2, 49:12 order [2] - 14:1, 30:19 Ordinance [1] - 71:2 ordinance [5] - 64:14, 72:23, 81:20, 81:21, 103:11 ordinances [1] - 56:15 original [3] - 7:22, 16:12, 48:11 otherwise [2] - 57:9, 82:19 Otherwise [1] - 86:21 outdoor [3] - 97:21, 99:12, 99:14 outdoors [1] - 101:9 outside [1] - 33:21 overall [2] - 91:6, 95:10 overestimate [1] -14:5 Overestimate [2] -14:7, 14:8 overhanging [1] -104:18 oversaw [1] - 56:13 oversee [1] - 55:11 overseeing [1] - 80:11 own [5] - 55:7, 58:13, 75:24, 77:8, 85:6 owned [3] - 13:21, 34:24, 39:15 owner [2] - 39:20, 39:23 owner-occupied [1] -39:20

Ρ

p.m [5] - 53:21, 53:22, 106:23, 106:24, 107:11 page [15] - 42:7, 47:16, 47:19, 48:9, 74:5, 74:7, 74:23, 81:24, 84:2, 84:19, 84:24, 94:21, 94:22, 103:4 pages [2] - 42:6, 72:5 paper [1] - 19:18 parallels [1] - 57:13 parcel [2] - 66:10, 97:24

parceling [1] - 42:18 parcels [1] - 98:1 park [3] - 86:8, 104:15, 105:10 parked [1] - 105:12 parking [14] - 63:18, 64:11, 64:13, 87:23, 89:17, 98:6, 102:18, 103:7, 103:10, 103:17, 105:7, 105:8, 105:13, 105:16 Part [1] - 88:5 part [6] - 47:12, 48:6, 50:20, 94:5, 94:22, 95:15 particular [3] - 49:9, 76:3, 79:8 parties [8] - 4:22, 5:14, 6:8, 25:22, 52:2, 53:2, 105:8, 106:8 parts [2] - 72:23, 89:2 pass [4] - 19:20, 54:20, 71:10, 74:8 past [3] - 20:1, 25:4, 25:7 path [5] - 86:13, 86:19, 91:8, 99:17, 104:2 paying [1] - 30:21 peak [1] - 79:11 Peggy [3] - 20:1, 72:1, 106:22 Pennsylvania [13] -13:15, 15:23, 57:8, 59:13, 59:18, 65:18, 67:3, 67:4, 67:10, 67:12, 67:13, 69:4, people [8] - 8:18, 25:24, 28:16, 88:9, 90:13, 90:14, 101:13, 105:12 people's [2] - 94:15, 101:14 **Pepper** [1] - 64:9 per [9] - 8:10, 9:2, 9:15, 13:18, 17:6, 17:13, 33:2, 34:2, 102:19 per-unit [1] - 13:18 percent [13] - 18:19, 19:1, 19:4, 82:9, 82:14, 82:21, 82:22, 82:24, 83:4, 85:13, 87:12, 88:2, 94:11 percentage [2] -85:24, 94:6

percentile [1] - 89:4

pergolas [2] - 86:23,

pergola [1] - 99:21

100:9

perhaps [1] - 102:17 period [4] - 5:4, 5:6, 5:24, 35:19 periods [3] - 4:23, 5:15, 6:6 permanent [1] - 87:6 permitted [3] - 60:1, 74:11, 79:3 permitting [1] - 96:8 person [1] - 105:10 persons [4] - 9:2, 17:11, 17:13, 17:23 perspective [1] -101:16 pervious [1] - 90:19 physical [3] - 96:10, 97:15, 98:10 pick[1] - 36:15 picked [1] - 37:14 picnic [1] - 86:23 pieces [1] - 42:18 place [5] - 87:7, 91:2, 97:16, 98:11, 106:17 Plan [1] - 70:19 plan [34] - 37:13, 47:2, 47:4, 47:5, 48:5, 62:1, 62:3, 64:9, 65:13, 70:22, 73:5, 73:21, 75:15, 77:24, 80:15, 82:10, 83:9, 87:8, 87:18, 91:8, 91:9, 99:16, 100:1, 100:3, 101:11, 101:20, 101:22, 102:2, 102:5, 102:16, 104:5, 104:21 plan's [1] - 82:16 plane [1] - 80:16 Planner [1] - 61:22 planner [5] - 52:16, 55:8, 55:9, 57:7, 85:5 **Planners** [1] - 57:3 planners [6] - 55:12, 61:17, 61:20, 61:21, 61:24, 62:23 **Planning** [3] - 3:12, 15:4, 57:5 planning [17] - 51:21, 55:9, 55:23, 56:19, 56:21, 57:21, 59:16, 59:17, 60:15, 67:9, 67:10, 67:16, 69:17, 70:1, 71:9, 72:10, 75:10 plans [19] - 47:10, 48:18, 55:17, 62:14, 62:18, 62:21, 62:23, 63:4, 63:15, 63:17, 64:24, 67:15, 67:18, 68:22, 68:24, 69:2,

Plans [1] - 3:3 play [2] - 91:14, 91:24 playground [2] -86:24, 99:22 Playground [1] -100:18 playgrounds [1] -101:5 playing [2] - 101:2, 101:8 Pledge [2] - 4:5, 4:8 plenty [1] - 52:16 **plugging** [1] - 64:18 plus [2] - 4:20, 34:23 point [11] - 5:16, 49:11, 62:12, 74:23, 75:19, 75:21, 86:12, 90:1, 90:2, 97:10, 100:13 pointer [1] - 83:20 pointing [3] - 88:15, 88:21. 102:24 points [1] - 70:21 policy[1] - 56:22 political [1] - 56:22 population [13] - 8:1, 8:2, 8:12, 8:13, 8:15, 9:3, 9:14, 13:10, 13:18, 14:2, 14:8, 16:13, 17:9 portion [1] - 14:3 portions [1] - 71:3 positive [2] - 8:20, 19:10 possible [3] - 21:20, 31:14, 50:7 posted [1] - 106:18 potential [1] - 23:3 potentially [1] -105:18 practice [1] - 72:9 practices [1] - 10:14 preliminary [1] - 4:13 preparation [1] -70:13 prepare [7] - 10:11, 55:16, 55:20, 62:18, 62:22, 62:23, 64:23 prepared [11] - 7:11, 10:12, 51:24, 52:11, 58:1, 62:15, 62:16, 63:3, 63:16, 68:23, 71:18 preparing [1] - 55:15 presented [2] - 9:16, 102:6 preserves [1] - 96:3 preserving [2] - 81:13, 97:18 PRESIDENT [10] - 4:1, 4:10, 32:10, 33:5, 39:9, 39:22, 40:1,

106:24, 107:4, 107:9 pretty [5] - 86:18, 90:8, 96:20, 104:23, 104:24 prevent [1] - 96:4 previous [1] - 38:15 previously [1] - 6:18 price [2] - 20:21, 21:1 primarily [1] - 44:3 principal [2] - 5:5, 55:8 privacy [1] - 87:24 private [6] - 56:2, 58:20, 58:21, 59:3, 62:9, 62:13 problematic [1] -91:11 proceed [1] - 70:3 proceedings [1] -51:16 Proceedings [1] -107:11 process [6] - 73:24, 75:7, 75:8, 76:13, 77:11 processes [1] - 56:14 produced [1] - 71:5 produces [1] - 35:10 product [3] - 28:17, 28:18, 28:20 professional [5] -13:6, 14:24, 55:5, 55:21, 56:17 Professional [2] -108:6, 108:13 professionally [1] -55:8 professionals [1] -64:23 programmable [2] -94:2, 96:22 programming [2] -86:18, 89:5 programs [1] - 33:18 prohibited [1] - 60:1 project [10] - 10:23, 11:1, 12:23, 57:16, 61:12, 61:18, 63:13, 64:4, 64:5, 66:16 project's [1] - 78:24 projected [4] - 17:15, 18:2, 18:4, 18:9 **projection** [5] - 8:1, 8:12, 8:16, 9:4, 19:6 projects [13] - 9:20, 9:23, 10:4, 10:15, 10:20, 14:15, 17:8, 17:10, 63:16, 63:20, 64:2, 65:11, 80:16 promotes [1] - 96:4 properties [8] - 9:11, 39:14, 40:13, 40:17, 40:19, 42:2, 65:12

83:2, 102:15, 103:13

property [12] - 37:3, 64:4, 66:16, 66:18, 93:3, 93:7, 93:8, 93:11, 93:12, 93:17, 93:20, 94:7 proposal [1] - 22:8 proposed [12] - 7:2, 9:19, 10:14, 21:19, 38:24, 59:11, 83:10, 88:17, 99:15, 100:13, 102:22, 103:6 proposing [3] - 72:18, 82:5, 83:3 protect [1] - 77:17 provide [6] - 25:6, 25:16, 30:19, 51:17, 66:15, 95:19 provided [4] - 11:9, 38:14, 52:1, 81:5 providing [1] - 30:9 **proximity** [1] - 101:13 public [12] - 18:11, 18:20, 19:3, 49:8, 56:1, 56:3, 56:5, 56:21, 58:20, 98:5, 98:6, 105:21 **pull** [1] - 63:6 pulled [1] - 42:23 **pun** [1] - 72:8 purchase [1] - 28:17 purpose [2] - 80:19, 86:10 purposes [2] - 50:8, 95:22 put [14] - 5:5, 46:21, 49:5, 81:2, 82:24, 87:7, 87:14, 88:16, 99:11, 99:21, 100:7, 100:18, 102:3, 103:23 puts [1] - 72:14 putting [3] - 93:24, 103:15, 103:17

Q

qualifications [1] - 60:17 qualified [2] - 65:18, 69:16 quality [1] - 64:21 questioning [1] - 34:19 questions [31] - 10:10, 13:2, 23:24, 25:21, 26:11, 26:13, 26:19, 26:21, 27:19, 29:3, 29:5, 29:16, 29:18, 29:23, 32:9, 34:5, 40:11, 44:10, 47:3, 49:9, 60:16, 60:19, 61:4, 68:22, 69:14, 78:17, 103:8, 105:14, 105:16, 106:20 quick [5] - 39:9, 40:3, 68:15, 74:7, 78:18 quote [1] - 24:9

R

R-4[2] - 74:9, 75:6

Radnor [25] - 9:5, 9:10, 10:21, 11:21, 14:13, 18:1, 18:11, 18:20, 19:2, 24:9, 24:24, 25:1, 35:8, 35:10, 35:20, 40:13, 40:17, 50:5, 58:10, 59:21, 71:1, 73:5, 75:22, 79:12, 95:2 **RADNOR** [1] - 54:7 Radnor's [2] - 70:18, 75:24 raised [2] - 47:6, 49:10 rather [2] - 93:16, 94:8 ratio [1] - 17:7 reach [1] - 106:22 read [12] - 10:7, 43:17, 73:13, 76:21, 81:17, 81:20, 81:22, 95:16, 98:9, 98:20, 99:3, 99:11 reading [4] - 22:6, 55:7, 74:3, 76:7 ready [1] - 53:13 real [5] - 25:18, 68:15, 74:7, 87:21, 91:6 reality [3] - 88:3, 88:8, 97:5 really [25] - 7:24, 42:12, 43:13, 57:17, 61:14, 63:11, 72:23, 74:8, 75:2, 75:24, 76:10, 76:13, 81:10, 85:13, 86:18, 86:21, 86:22, 87:13, 87:20, 88:3, 91:4, 95:14, 97:6, 100:1, 100:11 reasons [1] - 89:19 rebut [1] - 49:18 rebuttal [1] - 50:22 **RECD** [2] - 3:2, 3:11 recedes [1] - 80:16 receive [2] - 12:16, 51:20 Received [1] - 3:9 received [6] - 10:9, 12:20, 13:1, 14:13, 48:17, 51:3 recent [1] - 25:7 recently [2] - 10:24,

64:8 recess [1] - 53:21 recognized [4] - 57:4, 58:15, 59:12, 67:3 recollection [2] -22:10, 34:21 record [30] - 4:19, 5:13, 11:13, 30:5, 39:7, 42:2, 45:14, 46:22, 47:1, 47:7, 47:12, 49:1, 49:8, 49:12, 49:14, 49:16, 49:20, 50:2, 50:3, 50:15, 50:21, 52:14, 53:19, 69:22, 81:17, 106:1, 106:2, 106:6, 106:9, 108:8 record's [1] - 77:3 records [1] - 37:4 recreation [2] - 86:8, 86:9 recreational [3] -97:21, 99:13, 99:14 **RECROSS** [2] - 20:4, 44:12 rectangle [2] - 83:21, 83:22 rectangles [1] - 85:20 redevelopment [1] -63:19 redirect [2] - 4:17, 6.14 **REDIRECT** [3] - 6:21, 45:8. 68:18 reduce [2] - 19:6, 19:8 reduces [1] - 32:22 redundant [1] - 97:9 reference [2] - 48:23, referring [2] - 83:19, 84:7 reflected [1] - 24:18 refresh [1] - 22:10 regard [3] - 6:2, 12:18, 23:18 regarding [8] - 7:6, 7:11, 10:10, 14:14, 25:9, 99:2, 105:16, 105:17 regional [1] - 56:19 Regional [1] - 15:4 regulated [1] - 100:23 regulation [3] - 80:20, 81:2, 101:2 regulatory [2] - 72:13, 75.7 reject [1] - 77:2 relate [2] - 8:2, 20:17 related [4] - 48:19, 96:10, 97:21, 99:12 relates [3] - 8:17, 9:14, 67:16

38:22 relevant [3] - 57:17, 70:21, 71:2 **reliable** [1] - 14:19 remains [1] - 23:17 remember [4] - 25:6, 44:15, 45:1, 66:18 remind [1] - 24:23 rendered [1] - 66:3 renderings [3] - 79:5, 79:6. 79:7 rental [2] - 35:1, 39:13 rented [1] - 13:21 renter [1] - 39:21 renter-occupied [1] -39:21 repeated [1] - 42:9 replied [1] - 45:1 report [15] - 15:15, 71:6, 71:8, 71:9, 71:17, 72:6, 72:9, 72:12, 73:19, 74:3, 74:4, 81:23, 87:15, 87:16, 87:18 Report [1] - 3:12 REPORTER [1] -108:3 **Reporter** [2] - 108:7, 108:13 reports [4] - 55:16, 55:17, 55:21, 63:19 representation [1] -43:8 represented [2] - 36:4, 58:20 require [1] - 31:4 required [6] - 82:13, 82:15, 82:17, 82:21, 102:20, 103:10 requirement [10] -5:11, 6:1, 80:2, 82:5, 82:24, 89:9, 95:6, 102:19, 103:21, 104:10 requirements [16] -5:2, 63:6, 64:19, 65:2, 72:21, 78:10, 79:19, 81:6, 81:9, 82:8, 82:16, 93:1, 95:20, 97:12, 102:14, 105:15 requires [1] - 105:1 res [2] - 47:6, 48:4 resay [1] - 76:21 reserve [1] - 50:21 residence [1] - 91:2 Resident [1] - 25:22 resident [2] - 6:8, 92:1 residential [6] - 8:11, 17:6, 17:8, 74:10, 81:15, 96:1 residents [4] - 19:21, 91:16, 91:23, 98:4

resource [1] - 96:6 respect [1] - 91:22 responds [1] - 107:7 response [12] - 6:10, 26:4, 26:8, 26:16, 26:24, 27:4, 27:8, 27:12, 27:16, 29:9, 29:13, 29:21 responsible [1] - 61:6 rested [1] - 51:6 result [2] - 8:18, 19:9 resume [1] - 55:8 resuming [1] - 53:22 retail [1] - 11:5 retain [2] - 64:23, 65:6 retained [1] - 60:7 revenues [2] - 32:19, 32:22 review [13] - 48:16, 60:10. 61:23. 62:21. 71:6, 72:13, 73:24, 75:7, 75:8, 75:24, 78:6, 78:23, 102:13 reviewed [11] - 59:4, 59:7, 59:8, 62:20, 69:1, 69:2, 70:13, 70:15, 71:2, 73:21, 99:19 reviewing [5] - 61:9, 77:23, 77:24, 85:5, 102:5 revised [1] - 48:2 revision [1] - 48:1 rezoning [1] - 63:21 Rice [11] - 4:11, 40:2, 47:18, 48:22, 54:5, 60:13, 70:2, 71:21, 106:1, 106:15 RICE [69] - 4:12, 6:3, 6:7, 6:12, 19:15, 19:17, 19:20, 25:22, 26:6, 26:10, 26:12, 26:14, 26:18, 26:20, 26:22, 27:2, 27:6, 27:10, 27:14, 27:18, 27:21, 29:2, 29:4, 29:6, 29:11, 29:15, 29:17, 29:19, 29:23, 32:8, 34:4, 40:4, 40:9, 40:19, 40:22, 41:5, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 42:1, 42:8, 44:8, 45:4, 46:7, 46:16, 46:19, 47:14, 48:3, 48:21, 49:2, 49:17, 50:13, 50:24, 51:8, 51:11, 52:3, 52:17, 53:18, 53:24, 60:16, 60:20, 69:15, 69:23, 70:4, 71:23, 85:1, 106:4, 106:8, 106:16 right-hand [2] - 9:18,

Strafford [8] - 38:19,

43:19, 79:8, 89:22,

64:2, 65:11, 77:18,

95:13

47:23 8:12, 9:3, 9:12, 9:15, show [7] - 13:24, Specifically [1] socioeconomic [1] -9:21, 17:14, 17:15, 23:16, 52:10, 74:7, 15:16 right-of-way [1] -38:18 93:21 17:17, 18:3, 18:9, 84:12, 99:16, 100:2 **soil** [1] - 96:4 specifically [5] -18:20, 19:2, 19:7, road [5] - 50:1, 64:17, showed [2] - 14:20, sole [1] - 76:14 15:17, 31:2, 52:22, 31:2, 31:5, 31:11, 87:23, 88:8, 89:1 14:21 **Solutions** [1] - 15:12 64:6, 88:18 roads [3] - 87:22, 35:19, 35:22, 39:6 showing [3] - 17:5, specify [1] - 73:2 someone [2] - 15:3, 89:16, 92:8 schools [7] - 17:19, 102:15, 103:13 25:1 spelled [2] - 54:14 role [3] - 65:23, 76:2, 18:11, 18:14, 18:21, shown [4] - 85:18, **sometime** [1] - 45:21 Speyer [17] - 3:12, 19:3, 19:4, 35:20 76:9 100:1, 100:11, sometimes [3] - 65:4, 3:13, 54:5, 54:13, Schuda [1] - 29:6 roof [1] - 79:11 101:11 55:4, 60:14, 61:2, 73:8. 96:17 science [1] - 56:23 shows [3] - 7:20, 8:13, 69:23, 70:10, 72:4, roughly [5] - 19:1, Sometimes [1] - 73:10 25:3, 33:1, 58:24, screaming [2] - 92:1, 95:14 73:22, 74:2, 77:19, somewhat [3] - 15:22, side [7] - 36:13, 58:21, 69:1 92:2 79:20, 83:20, 88:12, 56:16, 90:17 routes [1] - 37:13 screen [2] - 7:19, 58:22, 62:6, 62:7, 101:8 sorry [2] - 89:11, 99:8. 101:6 **SPEYER** [1] - 54:9 rules [1] - 52:17 51:14 92:11 scroll [4] - 76:4, 76:5, sidewalk [6] - 88:8, running [3] - 35:2, Sorry [1] - 36:7 **Speyer's** [1] - 54:18 82:1, 84:23 91:1, 93:18, 94:8, **spirit** [1] - 94:18 35:6, 101:13 sort [4] - 15:21, 35:12, scrub [1] - 65:1 99:4, 99:8 runs [1] - 100:15 42:12, 89:18 **split** [1] - 58:24 Second [1] - 107:3 sidewalks [1] - 104:19 Ruschmann [1] spot [1] - 66:3 sound [1] - 75:10 second [1] - 48:9 significant [2] - 25:18, spring [2] - 25:4, 25:7 27:10 Source [1] - 17:23 section [6] - 58:13, 46:2 Rutgers [11] - 9:2, square [5] - 23:17, source [7] - 12:7, 9:22, 12:13, 13:16, 76:4, 81:13, 81:21, Silver [1] - 29:7 91:4, 94:9, 104:6, 12:11, 12:14, 13:3, 95:8, 99:10 14:4, 23:10, 33:8, similar [23] - 9:8, 9:11, 104:24 13:10, 16:17, 18:22 56:8, 56:20, 58:4 sections [2] - 73:6, 14:14, 16:7, 16:9, **Square** [1] - 23:20 sources [3] - 13:15, 97:5 21:13, 21:23, 21:24, staff [2] - 55:15, 61:22 13:17, 32:24 sector [4] - 56:1, 56:2, 22:1, 22:7, 22:14, stand [1] - 4:16 S southeastern [1] -56:3, 56:5 30:23, 35:23, 37:5, standard [1] - 73:9 15:23 self [2] - 91:13, 91:18 38:12, 38:23, 43:2, space [52] - 78:16, standards [7] - 60:2, S-P-E-Y-E-R [1] -43:15, 57:11, 59:9, self-burden [1] -81:13, 81:15, 82:7, 60:3, 76:16, 77:1, 54:15 68:3, 86:9 91:13 82:11, 82:12, 82:15, 77:7, 80:6, 82:3 safeguards [1] - 75:11 simple [2] - 103:24, self-created [1] -82:17, 83:4, 83:10, standpoint [1] - 96:6 safety [5] - 77:12, 104:24 91:18 85:23, 86:1, 86:6, stands [1] - 15:3 77:16, 89:19, simply [1] - 100:13 sent [1] - 49:5 86:10, 86:17, 87:12, start [6] - 66:8, 70:12, 105:19, 105:22 single [13] - 12:9, separate [2] - 42:3, 87:21, 88:10, 88:19, 78:11, 103:18, sake [1] - 9:16 42:5 13:19, 13:20, 16:19, 90:7, 90:20, 91:6, 104:18, 105:8 sale [2] - 20:21, 21:1 September [2] - 45:17, 20:16, 22:4, 23:11, 91:21, 92:7, 92:20, started [4] - 4:11, sample [3] - 9:7, 43:5, 106:13 24:4, 28:5, 28:9, 92:24, 93:6, 93:9, 37:19, 40:10, 45:23 43:8 31:23, 36:20, 95:21 series [1] - 70:17 93:10, 94:2, 95:12, starting [6] - 42:6, Sareen [1] - 27:14 site [22] - 55:17, 62:1, serve [1] - 90:18 96:1, 96:21, 97:11, 53:6, 81:24, 83:9, **Satterfield** [1] - 27:18 62:3, 62:23, 65:6, 97:14, 97:16, 97:19, 91:3, 105:11 **service** [1] - 56:3 scale [1] - 90:2 67:15, 67:18, 68:22, 97:23, 98:9, 98:11, set [6] - 18:24, 48:11, starts [1] - 93:12 scenarios [1] - 104:19 71:4, 72:17, 75:15, 98:15, 99:12, 51:13, 97:19, 98:6, stating [1] - 22:1 scheduling [1] - 5:20 76:11, 79:8, 86:8, 100:15, 103:1, 100:24 statistical [1] - 30:10 Scheri [2] - 29:2, 29:4 87:18, 96:11, 97:16, 103:10, 103:16, setback [2] - 80:2, statistically [1] - 31:16 SCHERI [2] - 29:3, 98:3, 98:10, 101:20, 103:24, 104:15, 89:18 steep [1] - 63:10 29:5 101:21, 104:21 104:23, 105:1 setbacks [5] - 60:3, stenographic [1] school [40] - 8:12, sitting [2] - 5:9, 88:9 spaces [11] - 87:24, 63:7, 64:13, 65:2, 108:9 8:14, 8:21, 9:3, 9:12, situated [1] - 91:12 89:17, 89:19, 90:17, 100:23 Steve [1] - 29:2 9:13, 9:15, 9:21, six [8] - 38:20, 38:21, 90:23, 102:19, sets [1] - 93:2 stick [2] - 74:14, 80:22 9:23, 10:3, 17:14, 42:14, 42:17, 43:20, 102:21, 102:23, seven [4] - 84:3, still [13] - 5:22, 6:13, 17:15, 17:17, 18:3, 43:21, 84:3, 98:21 103:5, 103:13 84:15, 85:1, 85:3 22:23, 23:2, 34:22, 18:9, 18:20, 19:2, size [8] - 21:4, 21:12, **spatial** [1] - 87:22 several [4] - 28:4, 56:1, 56:3, 78:21, 19:3, 19:7, 19:8, 21:14, 21:16, 21:23, **speaking** [3] - 9:5, 36:14, 42:24, 49:21 79:13, 79:16, 83:6, 19:10, 31:2, 31:5, 22:24, 43:5, 43:9 13:16, 76:24 shall [6] - 82:9, 82:17, 84:5, 84:13 31:11, 32:13, 33:2, **skills** [2] - 57:21, speaks [3] - 76:8, 86:6, 97:13, 97:20, Still [1] - 84:4 33:11, 33:16, 33:21, 61:19 82:8, 96:19 97.24 stop [1] - 37:15 33:24, 35:16, 35:19, **skipping** [1] - 11:13 special [4] - 33:9, Sharon [1] - 29:15 stormwater [9] -35:22, 35:24, 37:9, **slight** [1] - 24:11 33:17, 74:12 sheer [1] - 30:13 82:16, 82:23, 83:4, 38:12, 39:6, 41:6, Specific [1] - 67:12 slightly [1] - 24:14 sheet [6] - 47:22, 84:8, 85:17, 85:18, 41:11, 86:8 sling [1] - 5:22 specific [8] - 13:12, 84:14, 84:15, 85:1, 85:24, 87:2, 99:24 School [4] - 9:5, slopes [1] - 63:10 13:14, 16:22, 20:16,

small [2] - 11:5, 91:21

smaller [1] - 32:4

85:2, 85:21

85:19

sheets [2] - 48:10,

14:13, 18:1, 25:1

school-aged [19] -

90:24, 92:8, 92:14, 93:13 street [7] - 37:15, 37:16, 41:15, 41:16, 94:7, 98:5, 98:23 streets [3] - 36:18, 41:13, 98:5 structure [4] - 59:22, 97:20, 99:18 structures [8] - 87:6, 99:11, 99:15, 100:9, 100:22, 100:24, 101:5, 102:3 student [3] - 33:2, 33:18. 34:2 students [9] - 9:7, 17:18, 18:13, 18:20, 33:16, 35:18, 36:18, 37:14, 41:19 studies [2] - 21:5, 22:12 **study** [11] - 7:4, 8:4, 10:11, 11:24, 12:4, 12:17, 13:4, 13:8, 23:22, 24:13, 25:11 stuff [2] - 101:20, 103:19 subdivision [1] -82:10 subject [1] - 51:17 submit [1] - 47:1 submitted [5] - 7:4, 11:10, 45:16, 47:2, 48:14 substantial [1] - 75:12 substantially [3] -21:13, 21:22, 22:13 **suburb** [1] - 24:12 sufficient [2] - 42:19, 52:16 suitable [5] - 14:4, 86:7, 89:6, 89:8, 101:4 **summarizes** [1] - 7:12 **Summary** [2] - 3:4, 3:6 **summary** [3] - 7:20, 16:1, 16:9 **summation** [1] - 76:10 superintendent [2] -37:18, 37:20 supervise [1] - 55:12 supplied [1] - 79:7 suppose [1] - 31:14 surgery [1] - 5:21 surrounded [2] -89:21, 90:24 surrounding [1] -96:11 Survey [1] - 18:24 survey [2] - 63:7, 93:12 sworn [2] - 6:18, 54:10

systems [1] - 57:22 **SZARY** [4] - 27:20, 28:2, 28:12, 28:23 Szary [2] - 28:2, 29:11

Т

T-1 [3] - 3:12, 54:19, 54:22 **T-2** [6] - 3:12, 71:11, 71:13, 71:20, 88:13, 103:2 table [8] - 32:12, 58:22, 79:24, 80:1, 80:5, 81:23, 82:2 tables [1] - 86:23 tailored [1] - 59:24 talks [3] - 76:1, 99:10, 99:11 taxes [1] - 32:23 team [3] - 55:12, 61:14, 64:12 technical [2] - 55:16, 57:20 technically [2] - 88:2, 93:20 ten [8] - 52:19, 53:19, 64:15, 64:16, 82:14, 82:22, 82:24, 93:14 ten-foot [1] - 64:16 tend [5] - 14:5, 18:20, 20:22, 28:19, 93:18 terms [2] - 83:17, 87:10 test [1] - 57:18 testified [10] - 6:19, 7:6, 7:10, 10:2, 10:12, 20:8, 21:8, 24:24, 44:1, 54:11 testify [6] - 11:7, 11:9, 21:8, 25:12, 52:12, 60:8 testifying [1] - 74:4 testimony [20] - 22:22, 23:12, 23:14, 28:3, 38:15, 42:3, 42:6, 49:22, 58:6, 66:15, 69:7, 69:19, 70:11, 70:13, 70:20, 71:7, 78:7, 79:21, 99:3, 102:16 text [1] - 68:8 therefore [1] - 85:21 they've [1] - 49:4 thinking [1] - 103:18 third [1] - 59:3 thirds [2] - 59:2, 62:10 thoughts [2] - 77:21, 77:22

three [21] - 7:4, 7:24,

9:11, 9:20, 13:22,

16:23, 18:2, 21:18,

21:23, 31:5, 32:17, 35:22, 39:5, 41:19, 42:15, 57:1, 74:23, 78:9, 94:23, 96:7, 106:11 three-bedroom [1] -7:24 throw [1] - 57:10 timing [1] - 6:1 together [5] - 42:23, 49:5, 62:6, 87:14, 93:24 tomorrow [2] - 20:2, 72:1 tonight [4] - 22:22, 42:9, 57:18, 58:8 took [4] - 18:12, 41:5, 41:6, 41:10 **top** [4] - 66:18, 89:20, 94:22, 94:23 topic [2] - 7:11, 52:23 topography [2] - 63:8, 96:3 **Topology** [2] - 3:13, 55:10 toss [1] - 80:4 total [11] - 8:10, 9:2, 17:11, 17:12, 17:17, 17:22, 33:14, 35:21, 39:5. 102:21 touched [1] - 59:16 touching [1] - 104:16 toward [1] - 93:5 towards [4] - 88:22, 89:9, 94:10, 102:24 town [4] - 36:13, 66:11, 67:8, 80:22 townhome [17] -14:14, 35:8, 35:10, 36:12, 36:22, 38:22, 80:14, 83:21, 83:22, 88:23, 89:2, 89:22, 89:23, 100:19, 101:9 townhomes [25] - 7:2, 16:16, 16:20, 20:16, 22:8, 22:19, 36:19, 36:20, 36:24, 38:2, 38:8, 38:10, 38:11, 39:4, 42:14, 42:17, 47:11, 53:17, 74:13, 80:24, 90:5, 92:9, 92:16, 92:20, 100:16 **Townhouse** [1] - 3:5 townhouse [10] - 8:3, 9:8, 9:9, 22:5, 28:6, 35:23, 40:13, 40:16, 41:2, 41:3 township [9] - 5:7, 8:14, 8:21, 9:8, 12:22, 14:15, 43:14,

18:1, 19:2, 24:9, 40:14, 40:17, 50:5, 71:13 **TOWNSHIP**[1] - 3:11 TOWNSHIP'S [1] -54:7 township's [1] -106:19 Township's [1] -54:22 Township-1 [1] -54:19 tract [1] - 82:9 tracts [1] - 96:11 Traffic [1] - 105:20 train [2] - 61:19, 61:23 trained [1] - 62:20 training [2] - 61:24, 62:5 transcript [2] - 22:7, 108:8 transcripts [2] - 48:24, 71:3 transferable [2] -59:17, 69:9 Transportation [1] -9:6 transportation [8] -37:10, 37:21, 38:3, 38:10, 39:7, 41:23, 44:16, 44:17 trash [2] - 103:19, 104:2 trees [1] - 96:3 trenches [1] - 84:20 tried [1] - 25:17 true [2] - 22:21, 108:8 try [2] - 5:11, 74:14 trying [7] - 13:24, 36:8, 63:18, 65:11, 74:21, 76:21, 90:5 turn [4] - 19:8, 83:7, 83:24, 95:5 turned [2] - 66:9, 66:10 two [20] - 4:20, 8:6, 10:7, 13:22, 17:2, 23:15, 30:7, 56:12, 56:20, 59:2, 62:10, 72:23, 78:11, 80:17, 83:15, 88:21, 97:10, 102:13, 102:19, 105:18 Two [1] - 96:2 two-thirds [2] - 59:2, 62:10 **two-time** [1] - 56:20 type [14] - 11:5, 13:11, 13:19, 13:23, 14:6, 20:15, 22:3, 34:16, 36:5, 61:9, 75:22,

80:20, 81:2, 84:8

types [11] - 12:8,

15:18, 16:24, 24:4, 41:3, 67:17, 69:10, 84:20, 91:15, 101:4 typically [2] - 37:21, 104:1

U

U.S[1] - 18:23 **ultimately** [1] - 63:12 **Um** [3] - 61:7, 79:23, 92:10 un-developable [1] -63:12 unanimously [1] -107:7 unclear 131 - 83:6. 86:2, 104:8 under [7] - 4:23, 5:15, 6:6, 6:13, 8:15, 57:11, 83:5 underground [2] -82:22, 83:1 underneath [2] -85:23, 100:7 undersized [1] -104:10 Understood [5] - 23:7, 25:8, 65:10, 86:4, 94:12 understood [1] - 53:7 underway [1] - 4:2 unhappy [1] - 49:4 unique [3] - 73:23, 75:1, 77:11 unit [13] - 7:5, 8:10, 9:3, 9:16, 12:8, 13:18, 13:19, 17:6, 17:13, 20:15, 22:3, 36:11, 102:20 units [48] - 7:1, 7:7, 7:23, 7:24, 8:3, 8:9, 9:10, 9:19, 17:6, 21:19, 21:22, 22:4, 22:5, 24:7, 28:6, 34:23, 34:24, 35:1, 35:15, 35:23, 36:1, 36:10, 36:21, 38:18, 38:19, 38:20, 38:21, 39:21, 41:13, 41:19, 43:3, 43:14, 43:18, 43:19, 43:20, 43:21, 44:2, 44:5, 46:2, 91:11, 97:17, 98:12, 98:13, 98:14, 98:18, 98:22 unless [1] - 82:18 unlikely [1] - 31:17 unpack [1] - 82:20 unsuitable [1] - 12:18 unusable [1] - 94:4 **up** [30] - 5:9, 5:12,

Township [11] - 9:5,

60:7. 96:5

7:14, 15:3, 25:11, 34:10, 37:14, 40:3, 40:10, 45:6, 51:13, 52:10, 64:20, 64:21, 65:4, 66:14, 68:16, 76:5, 82:24, 87:19, 88:6, 88:7, 89:2, 96:24, 97:2, 97:4, 105:9, 105:18, 105:22 **update** [1] - 48:15 updated [3] - 35:5, 37:24, 47:22 usable [3] - 87:13, 96:22, 97:6 use's [1] - 78:10 useable [1] - 90:20 users [1] - 89:17 uses [14] - 55:17, 59:8, 60:1, 60:2, 68:11, 73:24, 74:11, 74:12, 74:18, 75:5, 75:14 utility [1] - 84:20 utilized [2] - 10:18, 10:19

٧

valid [1] - 13:7 Valley [1] - 15:4 value [13] - 8:10, 8:11, 17:6, 17:7, 20:18, 20:20, 21:1, 21:2, 32:22, 36:24, 38:12, 46:2 valued [2] - 38:2, 38:5 values [3] - 20:23, 37:5 variance [1] - 60:2 variances [5] - 55:19, 67:17, 67:18, 78:3, 102:7 various [4] - 13:11, 55:21, 57:20, 58:2 verbal [1] - 45:2 verbally [1] - 7:10 vernacular [2] - 74:22, 80:23 version [1] - 88:5 versus [3] - 28:18, 39:14, 39:20 view [3] - 97:17, 98:12, 98:15 Villa [2] - 38:19, 43:19 violation [2] - 67:21, Voir [3] - 55:1, 60:22, 68:18 voir [1] - 69:7

W

waiving [2] - 4:23, 6:6

Walk [2] - 38:19, 43:19

wall [2] - 80:16, 80:21

waive [1] - 6:1

waived [1] - 5:15

waiver [1] - 6:8

warranted [1] - 80:9 watch [1] - 25:17 water [2] - 98:1, 98:2 Wayne [2] - 38:19, 43:19 wearing [1] - 5:22 website [2] - 11:21, 106:19 Welcome [1] - 4:1 welfare [3] - 77:13, 77:17, 105:19 West [7] - 3:7, 10:24, 11:2, 25:9, 25:10, 34:10, 39:12 wetlands [1] - 82:13 whereas [2] - 24:6, 82:21 white [2] - 68:8, 73:8 whole [4] - 81:11, 87:15, 95:5, 102:10 Wicklow [4] - 38:20, 43:20, 44:5, 53:17 wider [1] - 81:1 Willis [2] - 29:15, 29:17 WILLIS [2] - 29:16, 29:18 window [1] - 90:10 witness [9] - 4:16, 6:14, 51:9, 51:21, 53:8. 53:13. 54:2. 54:4, 69:16 Witness [1] - 46:12 WITNESS [41] - 7:19, 16:7, 28:11, 28:14, 30:11, 30:18, 31:8, 31:11, 31:14, 31:19, 32:6, 32:18, 33:10, 33:23, 34:15, 34:20, 35:13, 36:3, 36:14, 37:2, 37:10, 37:19, 38:5, 38:14, 39:5, 39:18, 39:23, 40:18, 40:21, 40:24, 41:8, 41:12, 41:21, 41:24, 42:20, 43:4, 43:13, 43:18, 44:6, 54:13, 85:4 Witnesses [1] - 52:10 witnesses [3] - 46:8, 51:18, 52:11 woodland [1] - 86:8 word [3] - 62:4, 74:14, 76:20

words [3] - 75:6, 79:20, 85:16 writing [2] - 44:19, 72:15 written [1] - 81:11

X

XYZ [1] - 73:12

Υ

yard [1] - 95:19 yards [3] - 82:13, 101:6, 102:4 year [9] - 18:24, 30:12, 31:23, 32:3, 37:24, 45:22, 57:15, 61:11 years [3] - 21:10, 22:11, 32:5 York [2] - 57:13, 64:9

Ζ

zero [1] - 31:6 zone [1] - 66:5 **Zoning** [1] - 71:2 zoning [48] - 52:5, 55:16, 55:19, 55:20, 55:23, 56:11, 56:14, 57:21, 57:24, 58:1, 58:5, 58:9, 58:11, 58:13, 59:23, 60:6, 61:10, 63:21, 65:1, 65:24, 66:2, 66:4, 66:13, 67:16, 67:19, 68:3, 68:5, 68:7, 72:13, 72:17, 72:22, 73:1, 73:15, 74:16, 77:16, 79:18, 83:2, 95:8, 95:10, 95:20, 102:7, 102:11, 102:12, 102:19, 105:14 zoom [3] - 83:10, 83:14, 84:6